CREATION AND ANNIHILATION OPERATORS -NORMALIZATION

Link to: physicspages home page.

To leave a comment or report an error, please use the auxiliary blog and include the title or URL of this post in your comment.

Post date: 20 August 2023.

The number operators are defined in terms of the creation and annihilation operators for the free scalar Hamiltonian as

$$N_a(\mathbf{k}) = a^{\dagger}(\mathbf{k}) a(\mathbf{k}) \tag{1}$$

$$N_b(\mathbf{k}) = b^{\mathsf{T}}(\mathbf{k}) b(\mathbf{k}) \tag{2}$$

We've seen that $a^{\dagger}(\mathbf{k})$ creates a particle of energy $\omega_{\mathbf{k}}$ when it operates on a state, and $a(\mathbf{k})$ destroys a particle with energy $\omega_{\mathbf{k}}$ when it operates on a state (if that state contains such a particle). That is, the state $a^{\dagger}(\mathbf{k}) |n_{\mathbf{k}}\rangle$ is an eigenstate of $N_a(\mathbf{k})$ with eigenvalue $n_{\mathbf{k}} + 1$ and $a(\mathbf{k}) |n_{\mathbf{k}}\rangle$ is an eigenstate of $N_a(\mathbf{k})$ with eigenvalue $n_{\mathbf{k}} - 1$. However, if we require all multiparticle states to be normalized, so that $\langle n_{\mathbf{k}} | n_{\mathbf{k}} \rangle = 1$, the states $a^{\dagger}(\mathbf{k}) |n_{\mathbf{k}}\rangle$ and $a(\mathbf{k}) |n_{\mathbf{k}}\rangle$ do not produce normalized states. Rather, we have

$$a^{\dagger} (\mathbf{k}) |n_{\mathbf{k}}\rangle = A |n_{\mathbf{k}} + 1\rangle \tag{3}$$

$$a\left(\mathbf{k}\right)\left|n_{\mathbf{k}}\right\rangle = B\left|n_{\mathbf{k}}-1\right\rangle \tag{4}$$

for some constants A and B that are determined by requiring normalization.

To find A and B, we can take the modulus of the states above. We get (we'll leave off the (**k**) dependence of the a^{\dagger} and a operators to save typing; everything in what follows occurs at wave number **k**; we'll also assume A and B are real, although they could also be multiplied by some phase factor $e^{i\alpha}$, but this just complicates things unnecessarily). By using the commutation relation

$$\left[a,a^{\dagger}\right] = 1\tag{5}$$

we get, from 3

$$\left\langle n_{\mathbf{k}} \left| a a^{\dagger} \right| n_{\mathbf{k}} \right\rangle = A^{2} \left\langle n_{\mathbf{k}} + 1 \left| n_{\mathbf{k}} + 1 \right\rangle = A^{2}$$

$$(6)$$

$$\left\langle n_{\mathbf{k}} \left| a a^{\dagger} \right| n_{\mathbf{k}} \right\rangle = \left\langle n_{\mathbf{k}} \left| a^{\dagger} a + 1 \right| n_{\mathbf{k}} \right\rangle \tag{7}$$

$$= \langle n_{\mathbf{k}} | N_a + 1 | n_{\mathbf{k}} \rangle \tag{8}$$

$$= (n_{\mathbf{k}} + 1) \langle n_{\mathbf{k}} | n_{\mathbf{k}} \rangle \tag{9}$$

$$=(n_{\mathbf{k}}+1)\tag{10}$$

$$A = \sqrt{n_{\mathbf{k}} + 1} \tag{11}$$

Therefore

$$a^{\dagger}(\mathbf{k}) |n_{\mathbf{k}}\rangle = \sqrt{n_{\mathbf{k}} + 1} |n_{\mathbf{k}} + 1\rangle$$
(12)

For the annihilation operator, we have from 4:

$$\left\langle n_{\mathbf{k}} \left| a^{\dagger} a \right| n_{\mathbf{k}} \right\rangle = B^{2} \left\langle n_{\mathbf{k}} - 1 \left| n_{\mathbf{k}} - 1 \right\rangle = B^{2}$$
 (13)

$$\left\langle n_{\mathbf{k}} \left| a^{\dagger} a \right| n_{\mathbf{k}} \right\rangle = \left\langle n_{\mathbf{k}} \left| N_{a} \right| n_{\mathbf{k}} \right\rangle \tag{14}$$

$$= n_{\mathbf{k}} \left\langle n_{\mathbf{k}} \left| n_{\mathbf{k}} \right\rangle \tag{15}$$

$$=n_{\mathbf{k}} \tag{16}$$

$$B = \sqrt{n_{\mathbf{k}}} \tag{17}$$

Therefore

$$a\left(\mathbf{k}\right)\left|n_{\mathbf{k}}\right\rangle = \sqrt{n_{\mathbf{k}}}\left|n_{\mathbf{k}}-1\right\rangle \tag{18}$$

This relation implies that applying $a(\mathbf{k})$ to a state that contains no particles with energy $\omega_{\mathbf{k}}$ (that is, where $n_{\mathbf{k}} = 0$) produces 0. In particular, if we apply $a(\mathbf{k})$ to the vacuum state, we end up with no state at all:

$$a\left(\mathbf{k}\right)\left|\mathbf{0}\right\rangle = 0\tag{19}$$

Note that $|0\rangle$ and 0 aren't the same thing: $|0\rangle$ is a quantum state with no particles in it, while 0 is mathematically zero, that is, nothing. As an analogy, $|0\rangle$ is like having a bucket with nothing in it, while 0 corresponds to removing the bucket as well.

We can repeat exactly the same calculations for the antiparticle operators b^\dagger and b and get the results

$$b^{\dagger}(\mathbf{k}) \left| \bar{n}_{\mathbf{k}} \right\rangle = \sqrt{\bar{n}_{\mathbf{k}} + 1} \left| \bar{n}_{\mathbf{k}} + 1 \right\rangle \tag{20}$$

$$b(\mathbf{k})|\bar{n}_{\mathbf{k}}\rangle = \sqrt{\bar{n}_{\mathbf{k}}}|\bar{n}_{\mathbf{k}}-1\rangle \tag{21}$$

PINGBACKS

Pingback: Feynman propagator for scalar fields