

FUNCTIONAL DERIVATIVES AND THE LAGRANGIAN

Link to: physicspages home page.

To leave a comment or report an error, please use the auxiliary blog.

Reference: W. Greiner & J. Reinhardt, *Field Quantization*, Springer-Verlag (1996), Chapter 2.

Although I've looked at functionals and functional derivatives before, I've been reading yet another book on quantum field theory (Greiner & Reinhardt, referenced above) so I think it's worth re-examining them and their use in deriving the Euler-Lagrange equations in classical field theory.

G & R's starting point is their definition of a functional derivative (in one dimension) as follows:

$$\delta F[\phi] \equiv \int dx \frac{\delta F[\phi]}{\delta \phi(x)} \delta \phi(x) \quad (1)$$

I find this notation a bit confusing, but I think we can interpret it like this. $F[\phi]$ is a functional of the function $\phi(x)$, which means that F depends on the function ϕ , but not (at least explicitly) on x . One way this might happen is if F is defined as an integral of ϕ over some range of x :

$$F[\phi] = \int_{x_1}^{x_2} \phi(x) dx \quad (2)$$

Clearly if we change ϕ , the value of $F[\phi]$ will (usually) change as well, so we can see that a functional is a mapping from a set of *functions* onto the set of ordinary (possibly real or complex) numbers. In this way, it differs from a regular function $f(x)$ which is a mapping from one set of numbers (the x values) to another (usually the same) set of numbers.

To understand what the definition 1 is saying, we need to picture what happens if we perturb the function $\phi(x)$ by an amount $\delta \phi(x)$. At each point x , the perturbation $\delta \phi(x)$ will cause a change in the resulting functional F . This change can be written as $\frac{\delta F[\phi]}{\delta \phi(x)}$, which can be interpreted as the change in F per unit change in ϕ and per unit of x . Since the actual change in ϕ at point x is $\delta \phi(x)$, then the change in $F[x]$ over a distance dx is $dx \frac{\delta F[\phi]}{\delta \phi(x)} \delta \phi(x)$ and the total change in $F[x]$ is the integral over all x , as given by 1.

The reason the notation is confusing is that the functional derivative $\frac{\delta F[\phi]}{\delta \phi(x)}$ makes no mention of the 'per unit of x ' part, and as a result, the units in 1 don't appear to balance on each side of the equation. Once we include this,

we see that the units of $\frac{\delta F[\phi]}{\delta \phi(x)}$ are (units of F) (units of ϕ)⁻¹ (length)⁻¹ and then the units on the RHS of 1 come out to just the units of F , thus agreeing with the LHS.

Another point worth making is that, because the functional derivative *does* depend explicitly on x , it's just an ordinary function, not a functional.

Once we understand this, the derivation of the Euler-Lagrange equations given in G & R's section 2.1 is somewhat easier to follow. Although I've run through this derivation previously, it's worth following through G & R's derivation, as it's a bit different and illustrates the points above.

In classical field theory, the Lagrangian is taken to be a functional of a field function $\phi(\mathbf{x}, t)$ and its time derivative $\dot{\phi}(\mathbf{x}, t)$:

$$L(t) = L[\phi(\mathbf{x}, t), \dot{\phi}(\mathbf{x}, t)] \quad (3)$$

Note a couple of things: first, we're now dealing with 3 space dimensions and second, L has no explicit dependence on the spatial position \mathbf{x} . We can generalize 1 to the 3-d case, where L depends on two fields (ϕ and $\dot{\phi}$) by writing

$$\delta L[\phi, \dot{\phi}] = \int d^3x \left[\frac{\delta L}{\delta \phi(\mathbf{x}, t)} \delta \phi(\mathbf{x}, t) + \frac{\delta L}{\delta \dot{\phi}(\mathbf{x}, t)} \delta \dot{\phi}(\mathbf{x}, t) \right] \quad (4)$$

The two functional derivatives on the RHS have the units of (energy) (volume)⁻¹ (units of ϕ)⁻¹, which again isn't entirely obvious from the notation. At this point, I think it makes a bit more sense to acknowledge the fact that the functional derivatives have the dimensions of a density (that is, the units of something per unit volume), and introduce now the Lagrangian density \mathcal{L} , which is the Lagrangian per unit volume, so that the total Lagrangian is defined as

$$L(t) = \int d^3x \mathcal{L}(\phi(\mathbf{x}, t), \nabla \phi(\mathbf{x}, t), \dot{\phi}(\mathbf{x}, t)) \quad (5)$$

Note that \mathcal{L} is actually a function rather than a functional, in that it depends explicitly on the position vector \mathbf{x} via the field function ϕ . That is, the total Lagrangian L does *not* depend on \mathbf{x} , since it is an integral over all space, while the Lagrangian density \mathcal{L} is a local function that varies as we move around in space. The list of arguments of $\mathcal{L}(\phi(\mathbf{x}, t), \nabla \phi(\mathbf{x}, t), \dot{\phi}(\mathbf{x}, t))$ is an assumption of the theory; we're explicitly assuming that \mathcal{L} doesn't depend on derivatives of any higher order than the first derivative.

We can now write the variation in L using ordinary derivatives:

$$\delta L(t) = \int d^3x \left[\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \phi} \delta \phi + \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \phi_{,i}} \delta \phi_{,i} + \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{\phi}} \delta \dot{\phi} \right] \quad (6)$$

where the notation $\phi_{,i}$ is defined as

$$\phi_{,i} \equiv \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x^i} \quad (7)$$

and $i = 1, 2, 3$ with the summation convention being used. We can integrate the middle term in 6 by parts, using

$$\delta \phi_{,i} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} (\delta \phi) \quad (8)$$

$$\int d^3x \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \phi_{,i}} \delta \phi_{,i} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \phi_{,i}} \delta \phi \Big|_{\text{boundary}} - \int d^3x \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \phi_{,i}} \right) \delta \phi \quad (9)$$

As usual, we assume the boundary term goes to zero at infinity, so we're left with

$$\delta L(t) = \int d^3x \left[\left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \phi} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \phi_{,i}} \right) \right) \delta \phi + \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{\phi}} \delta \dot{\phi} \right] \quad (10)$$

Comparing with 4, this allows us to write explicit expressions for the functional derivatives in 4, which makes the volume dependence a bit more obvious:

$$\frac{\delta L}{\delta \phi(\mathbf{x}, t)} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \phi(\mathbf{x}, t)} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \phi_{,i}(\mathbf{x}, t)} \right) \quad (11)$$

$$\frac{\delta L}{\delta \dot{\phi}(\mathbf{x}, t)} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{\phi}(\mathbf{x}, t)} \quad (12)$$

From here, the derivation of the Euler-Lagrange equation proceeds as we showed earlier with the result

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \phi^r} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \phi^r_{,\mu}} \right) = 0 \quad (13)$$

Here the superscript r labels the field (if we have more than one independent field) and we've used four-vector notation $x^\mu = (t, \mathbf{x})$, and the index μ now extends over 0, 1, 2, 3, with $x^0 = t$.

PINGBACKS

Pingback: [Hamilton's equations of motion in classical field theory](#)

Pingback: [Poisson brackets and Hamilton's equations of motion](#)

Pingback: [Lagrangian for the Schrödinger equation](#)

Pingback: [Canonical momenta for electromagnetic fields](#)