

## DIELECTRIC CONSTANT

Link to: physicspages home page.

To leave a comment or report an error, please use the auxiliary blog.

Reference: Griffiths, David J. (2007) Introduction to Electrodynamics, 3rd Edition; Prentice Hall - Section 4.4.1 and Problem 4.18.

Experimentally, the dipole moment of an atom is proportional to the applied electric field (for small fields). Since the polarization of a dielectric is due to individual atoms within the dielectric being given dipole moments, it should come as no surprise that the polarization density  $\mathbf{P}$  of a dielectric is also proportional to the applied field. The relation is written as

$$(0.1) \quad \mathbf{P} = \epsilon_0 \chi_e \mathbf{E}$$

where  $\chi_e$  is the *electric susceptibility*. Due to the presence of the  $\epsilon_0$ ,  $\chi_e$  is dimensionless.

Not all substances obey such a simple law, but for those that do, they are called *linear dielectrics*.

If this condition holds, we get a simple relationship between the displacement, the field and the polarization. We have

$$(0.2) \quad \mathbf{D} = \epsilon_0 \mathbf{E} + \mathbf{P}$$

$$(0.3) \quad = \epsilon_0 (1 + \chi_e) \mathbf{E}$$

The quantity  $1 + \chi_e$  is called the *dielectric constant* for a material. The entire proportionality constant is called the *permittivity*  $\epsilon$  of the material:

$$(0.4) \quad \epsilon = \epsilon_0 (1 + \chi_e)$$

Since a vacuum cannot be polarized at all,  $\chi_e = 0$  for a vacuum, so that  $\epsilon = \epsilon_0$  in that case. This is why  $\epsilon_0$  is called the *permittivity of free space*.

As a simple example of how these relations can be used, suppose we have a parallel plate capacitor whose plates are separated by a distance  $2a$ . On one plate there is a surface charge density of  $\sigma$  and on the other there is  $-\sigma$ . Between the plates are two slabs of dielectric, each of thickness  $a$ . Slab 1 (next to the positive plate) has a dielectric constant of 2 and slab 2 has a dielectric constant of 1.5.

We can begin by finding the displacement  $\mathbf{D}$ . Using Gauss's law for displacement, we can build a little cylindrical Gaussian pillbox of radius  $r$  with one end in the positive plate and the other in slab 1. By symmetry  $\mathbf{D}$  is parallel to the axis of the cylinder so there are no contributions to  $\mathbf{D} \cdot d\mathbf{a}$  from the sides of the cylinder. The end of the cylinder inside the plate will have  $\mathbf{D} = 0$  (since we're inside a conductor), while the other end will contribute  $\pi r^2 D$ . The charge enclosed by the cylinder is  $\pi r^2 \sigma$  so we get

$$(0.5) \quad \int \mathbf{D} \cdot d\mathbf{a} = Q$$

$$(0.6) \quad \pi r^2 D = \pi r^2 \sigma$$

$$(0.7) \quad D = \sigma$$

This result is independent of the dielectric, so it holds everywhere between the plates. If we assume the positive plate lies above the negative one, we have  $\mathbf{D} = -\sigma \hat{\mathbf{z}}$  since the displacement vector points from positive to negative.

From the relation above, we can find  $\mathbf{E}$ . For the two slabs, we have

$$(0.8) \quad \mathbf{E}_1 = \frac{1}{\epsilon_0(1 + \chi_e)_1} \mathbf{D}$$

$$(0.9) \quad = -\frac{\sigma}{2\epsilon_0} \hat{\mathbf{z}}$$

$$(0.10) \quad \mathbf{E}_2 = -\frac{\sigma}{1.5\epsilon_0} \hat{\mathbf{z}}$$

The polarization within the two slabs can now be found from 0.1.

$$(0.11) \quad \mathbf{P}_1 = \epsilon_0(2 - 1)\mathbf{E}_1$$

$$(0.12) \quad = -\frac{\sigma}{2} \hat{\mathbf{z}}$$

$$(0.13) \quad \mathbf{P}_2 = -\frac{\sigma}{3} \hat{\mathbf{z}}$$

The potential difference between the plates is

$$(0.14) \quad V = E_1 a + E_2 a$$

$$(0.15) \quad = \frac{7 a \sigma}{6 \epsilon_0}$$

The bound charges resulting from the polarization can be found. Since the polarization is uniform within each slab, there is no volume bound charge since  $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{P} = 0$  everywhere. The surface bound charge is found from

$$(0.16) \quad \sigma_b = \mathbf{P} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}$$

Applying this at the boundary between the positive plate and slab 1, we get  $\sigma_b = -\sigma/2$ . At the boundary between slabs 1 and 2, there is a bound charge of  $+\sigma/2$  on slab 1 and  $-\sigma/3$  on slab 2 (for a net bound charge of  $+\sigma/6$  at the boundary). At the boundary between slab 2 and the negative plate, we have  $+\sigma/3$ .

We can use these bound charges to check the values for the field found above. Choosing a Gaussian cylinder with one end in the positive plate and the other in slab 1, the enclosed charge is  $\pi r^2 (\sigma - \sigma/2)$  which is equal to  $\epsilon_0$  times the surface integral of the field over the cylinder's end cap which is  $\pi r^2 E_1$ . Thus  $E_1 = \sigma/2\epsilon_0$  (pointing downwards) as before. Doing the same calculation for slab 2 we get  $E_2 = 2\sigma/3\epsilon_0$  as before.

#### PINGBACKS

- Pingback: Dielectric examples
- Pingback: Dielectric sphere with free charge
- Pingback: Coaxial cable with dielectric
- Pingback: Dielectric cylinder in uniform electric field
- Pingback: Dielectric sphere in uniform electric field
- Pingback: Point charge embedded in dielectric plane
- Pingback: Point charge in dielectric sphere
- Pingback: Uniqueness of potential in dielectrics
- Pingback: Transmission lines
- Pingback: Skin depth of electromagnetic waves in conductors
- Pingback: [http://physicspages.com/pdf/Griffiths EM/Griffiths Problems 09.23.pdf](http://physicspages.com/pdf/Griffiths%20EM/Griffiths%20Problems%2009.23.pdf)
- Pingback: Frequency dependence of electric permittivity
- Pingback: Microwave shielding for perfect transmission
- Pingback: Maxwell's equations in matter: boundary conditions