

## MAGNETIC VECTOR POTENTIAL: SHEET OF CURRENT

Link to: physicspages home page.

To leave a comment or report an error, please use the auxiliary blog.

Reference: Griffiths, David J. (2007) Introduction to Electrodynamics, 3rd Edition; Prentice Hall - Problem 5.26.

Another example of calculating the magnetic vector potential in a case where the current extends to infinity. We consider a uniform sheet of current in the  $xy$  plane, carrying surface current density  $K\hat{x}$ . Using the same argument as in the case of a slab of current, the magnetic field due to this current is

$$(1) \quad \mathbf{B} = \begin{cases} -\frac{\mu_0}{2}K\hat{y} & z > 0 \\ \frac{\mu_0}{2}K\hat{y} & z < 0 \end{cases}$$

Note that the field is independent of the distance from the sheet of current.

We can work out the potential by applying Stokes's theorem. From the definition of  $\mathbf{A}$ , we know that  $\nabla \times \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{B}$ , so if we define a closed loop then we have

$$(2) \quad \oint \mathbf{A} \cdot d\mathbf{l} = \int_A \mathbf{B} \cdot d\mathbf{a}$$

where the integral on the LHS is a line integral around the loop and the integral on the right is over the area  $A$  enclosed by the loop. For a flat loop, the direction of integration on the LHS is such that the right hand rule gives a vector pointing in the same direction as  $d\mathbf{a}$  on the RHS.

We need to be careful in defining directions for the area and line integrals to get the signs right. We'll take as our area  $A$  a rectangle above the  $xy$  plane and parallel to the  $xz$  plane. The sides of the rectangle parallel to the  $xy$  plane are of length 1, with the lower side at a distance  $a$  and the upper side at a distance  $b$  from this plane. The normal to the area points in the  $\hat{y}$  direction. Since  $\mathbf{B}$  is uniform everywhere above the plane, we get

$$(3) \quad \int_A \mathbf{B} \cdot d\mathbf{a} = -\frac{\mu_0}{2}K(b-a)$$

For the line integral, the path around the rectangle is clockwise when looking in the  $+y$  direction, and by symmetry, the integral of  $\mathbf{A} \cdot d\mathbf{l}$  along the vertical sides cancels out, so

$$(4) \quad \oint \mathbf{A} \cdot d\mathbf{l} = A_x(b) - A_x(a)$$

Comparing these two, a reasonable candidate is

$$(5) \quad \mathbf{A} = -\frac{\mu_0}{2} Kz \hat{\mathbf{x}}$$

We can check this by finding the div and curl, as usual:

$$(6) \quad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{A} = 0$$

$$(7) \quad \nabla \times \mathbf{A} = -\frac{\mu_0}{2} K \hat{\mathbf{y}}$$

Below the sheet of current, the sign is reversed so we have

$$(8) \quad \mathbf{A} = \frac{\mu_0}{2} Kz \hat{\mathbf{x}}$$