

## MAGNETIC SCALAR POTENTIAL

Link to: physicspages home page.

To leave a comment or report an error, please use the auxiliary blog.

Reference: Griffiths, David J. (2007) Introduction to Electrodynamics, 3rd Edition; Prentice Hall - Problem 5.28.

Since the electric field can be expressed as the gradient of a scalar potential ( $\mathbf{E} = -\nabla V$ ), it's natural to wonder if the magnetic field could be written as the gradient of a *scalar* potential, as well as the curl of a vector potential. That is, we would like to try

$$(0.1) \quad \mathbf{B} = -\nabla U$$

for some function  $U$ . This has one obvious flaw, in that Ampère's law states that the curl of  $\mathbf{B}$  is non-zero in the presence of currents. That is

$$(0.2) \quad \nabla \times \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r}) = \mu_0 \mathbf{J}(\mathbf{r})$$

This is a problem, because the curl of a gradient is always zero (this is an identity from vector calculus). Still, we might try to define a scalar potential in current-free areas. If we try this, we can see one consequence by considering the magnetic field due to the current  $I$  in an infinite wire. In cylindrical coordinates, this is

$$(0.3) \quad \mathbf{B} = \frac{\mu_0 I}{2\pi r} \hat{\phi}$$

If we write this as  $\nabla U$ , then using the form for the gradient in cylindrical coordinates there will be only a  $\phi$  term:

$$(0.4) \quad \nabla U = \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial U}{\partial \phi} \hat{\phi}$$

Now if we apply Ampère's law to a circular path surrounding the wire

$$(0.5) \quad \int \mathbf{B} \cdot d\mathbf{l} = - \int \nabla U \cdot d\mathbf{l}$$

$$(0.6) \quad = - \int_0^{2\pi} \left( \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial U}{\partial \phi} \hat{\phi} \right) \cdot (r \hat{\phi} d\phi)$$

$$(0.7) \quad = - \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{\partial U}{\partial \phi} d\phi$$

$$(0.8) \quad = U(0) - U(2\pi)$$

$$(0.9) \quad = \mu_0 I$$

That is, the difference  $U(2\pi) - U(0) \neq 0$  even though  $\phi = 0$  and  $\phi = 2\pi$  represent the same point. The potential must therefore be a multiple-valued quantity, so it's not of much use in physical situations.

#### PINGBACKS

Pingback: Magnetic scalar potential: dipole and rotating sphere