

ELECTROMAGNETIC WAVES IN MATTER: REFLECTION AND TRANSMISSION COEFFICIENTS

Link to: physicspages home page.

To leave a comment or report an error, please use the auxiliary blog.

References: Griffiths, David J. (2007), Introduction to Electrodynamics, 3rd Edition; Pearson Education - Problem 9.13.

Continuing with our study of electromagnetic waves in matter, we'll carry on with the system of an incident wave travelling in the $+z$ direction (so $\hat{\mathbf{k}} = \hat{\mathbf{z}}$) and polarized in the x direction (so $\hat{\mathbf{n}} = \hat{\mathbf{x}}$). Suppose the boundary is the xy plane, with medium 1 on the left ($z < 0$) and medium 2 on the right ($z > 0$). Then the reflected and transmitted (complex) amplitudes are

$$(1) \quad \tilde{E}_{0R} = \pm \frac{1 - \beta}{1 + \beta} \tilde{E}_{0I}$$

$$(2) \quad \tilde{E}_{0T} = \pm \frac{2}{1 + \beta} \tilde{E}_{0I}$$

where \tilde{E}_{0I} is the incident amplitude and

$$(3) \quad \beta \equiv \frac{\mu_1 v_1}{\mu_2 v_2} = \frac{\mu_1 n_2}{\mu_2 n_1}$$

with v_i the speed of the wave in medium i and $n_i = c/v_i$ the index of refraction.

The intensity of a wave in a vacuum is defined as the mean (over time) of the magnitude of the Poynting vector:

$$(4) \quad I \equiv \langle S \rangle = \frac{1}{2} E_0^2 c \epsilon_0$$

If we follow through the derivation of I for a wave in matter, we see that the only difference is that c is replaced by v and ϵ_0 by ϵ , so the intensity becomes

$$(5) \quad I = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon v E_0^2$$

The reflection coefficient R is the ratio of reflected to incident intensity:

$$(6) \quad R = \frac{\frac{1}{2}\epsilon_1\nu_1 E_{0R}^2}{\frac{1}{2}\epsilon_1\nu_1 E_{0I}^2}$$

$$(7) \quad = \left(\frac{1-\beta}{1+\beta}\right)^2$$

The transmission coefficient T is the ratio of transmitted to incident intensity:

$$(8) \quad T = \frac{\frac{1}{2}\epsilon_2\nu_2 E_{0T}^2}{\frac{1}{2}\epsilon_1\nu_1 E_{0I}^2}$$

$$(9) \quad = \frac{4\epsilon_2\nu_2}{\epsilon_1\nu_1(1+\beta)^2}$$

$$(10) \quad = \frac{4\beta}{(1+\beta)^2}$$

where in the last line we used

$$(11) \quad \nu_i = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\epsilon_i\mu_i}}$$

$$(12) \quad \epsilon_i = \frac{1}{\mu_i\nu_i^2}$$

We can see that $R + T = 1$ which is just an expression of the conservation of energy. The larger n_2 is relative to n_1 , the larger is β which means that $R \rightarrow 1$ and $T \rightarrow 0$.

The theory here is incomplete, as in practice the index of refraction depends not only on the material but also on the wavelength of radiation. This is largely a quantum phenomenon as it depends on the distances between the atoms in the refracting medium, whereas the classical theory assumes the medium is continuous.

PINGBACKS

Pingback: [Fresnel equations for perpendicular polarization](#)

Pingback: [Reflection at a conducting surface: the physics of mirrors](#)

Pingback: [Transmission coefficient for a wave passing through 3 media](#)