

THE TWIN PARADOX ANALYZED USING LORENTZ TRANSFORMATIONS

Link to: physicspages home page.

To leave a comment or report an error, please use the auxiliary blog.

References: Griffiths, David J. (2007), Introduction to Electrodynamics, 3rd Edition; Pearson Education - Chapter 12, Post 16.

The twin paradox can be analyzed using Lorentz transformations to get an idea of what each twin perceives at key points in the journey. Suppose we have a brother Andy and his twin sister Betty. At $t = t' = 0$ (which corresponds to the twins' 21st birthday) and $x = x' = 0$ in both systems, Betty leaves on a spaceship travelling at $\frac{4}{5}c$ heading towards a star X . Upon arriving at X , Betty immediately transfers to another spaceship and heads back to Earth, also at $\frac{4}{5}c$, arriving on her 39th birthday (according to her own reckoning).

Andy sees Betty as moving in both directions, so to him, Betty's clock runs slow. Using the time dilation formula, Andy sees a time interval of

$$\Delta t_A = \gamma \Delta t_B \quad (1)$$

$$= \frac{5}{3} \times 18 \quad (2)$$

$$= 30 \text{ years} \quad (3)$$

Thus Andy will be $21 + 30 = 51$ years old when Betty arrives home.

According to Andy, Betty's trip took 15 years each way at a speed of $\frac{4}{5}c$, so star X is at a distance of

$$d_X = \frac{4}{5} \times 15c = 12c \text{ light years} \quad (4)$$

In Andy's frame, the coordinates of Betty's jump between spaceships is

$$(x, t) = (12, 15) \quad (5)$$

In Betty's outgoing frame, we can apply Lorentz transformations to get her coordinates

$$x' = \gamma(x - vt) \quad (6)$$

$$= \frac{5}{3} \left(12c - \frac{4}{5} 15c \right) \quad (7)$$

$$= 0 \quad (8)$$

$$t' = \gamma \left(t - \frac{xv}{c^2} \right) \quad (9)$$

$$= \frac{5}{3} \left(15 - \frac{4}{5} 12 \right) \quad (10)$$

$$= 9 \text{ years} \quad (11)$$

These values are consistent, since Betty doesn't move relative to her own frame, so we'd expect $x' = 0$, and since her clock runs slow relative to Andy's clock, the time interval is shortened by a factor of $1/\gamma$ giving $t' = 9$ years.

Now consider the frame (indicated by a double prime) fixed to Betty's returning spaceship. We'll fix the coordinates so that $t = t' = t'' = 0$ and $x = x' = x'' = 0$. Its velocity relative to Andy is $-v = -\frac{4}{5}c$, so in this frame, the jump occurs at

$$x'' = \gamma(x + vt) \quad (12)$$

$$= \frac{5}{3} \left(12c + \frac{4}{5} 15c \right) \quad (13)$$

$$= 40 \text{ light years} \quad (14)$$

$$t'' = \gamma \left(t + \frac{xv}{c^2} \right) \quad (15)$$

$$= \frac{5}{3} \left(15 + \frac{4}{5} 12 \right) \quad (16)$$

$$= 41 \text{ years} \quad (17)$$

Thus for Betty to adjust her clock so that it agreed with the S'' frame, she would have to advance it by 32 years just after making the jump. In this frame, the coordinates of her arrival back on Earth are given by transforming Andy's coordinates of $(x, t) = (0, 30)$, so we have

$$x'' = \gamma(x + vt) \quad (18)$$

$$= \frac{5}{3} \left(0 + \frac{4}{5} 30c \right) \quad (19)$$

$$= 40 \text{ light years} \quad (20)$$

$$t'' = \gamma \left(t + \frac{xv}{c^2} \right) \quad (21)$$

$$= \frac{5}{3} (30 + 0) \quad (22)$$

$$= 50 \text{ years} \quad (23)$$

Note that x'' doesn't change as Betty travels home, again because this is her own rest frame. Also, the time interval for her to get home is again 9 years in her own frame, the same as for the outbound journey.

Andy's age, as viewed by Betty, depends on which spaceship she is on. Just before making the jump she is in the S' frame, so according to her, the time back on Earth at that point is given by taking $t' = 9$, $x = 0$ in the transformation

$$t' = \gamma \left(t - \frac{xv}{c^2} \right) \quad (24)$$

$$9 = \frac{5}{3} (t - 0) \quad (25)$$

$$t = \frac{27}{5} = 5.4 \text{ years} \quad (26)$$

That is, Betty thinks Andy is actually 3.6 years *younger* than she is when she reaches the star. This is because to her, it is Andy who is moving so *his* clock runs slow by a factor of $1/\gamma$ relative to her 9 years. How can we reconcile this with the fact that Andy thinks that Betty takes 15 years to get to the star, so according to him, he is actually 6 years *older* than Betty when she reaches the star? The confusion arises because of differences in simultaneity as perceived by Andy and Betty. Andy says that the two events (Andy on Earth at age $21 + 15 = 36$ years, and Betty at the star at age $21 + 9 = 30$ years) are simultaneous, but Betty disagrees with this, saying that the two events (Andy on Earth at age $21 + 5.4 = 26.4$ years, and Betty at the star at age $21 + 9 = 30$ years) are simultaneous. In general, two observers can agree about two events being simultaneous only if these events happen at the same location in both systems.

Just after the jump, Betty can now calculate Andy's age in the S'' system by using $t'' = 41$ years and $x = 0$:

$$t'' = \gamma \left(t + \frac{xv}{c^2} \right) \quad (27)$$

$$41 = \frac{5}{3}(t+0) \quad (28)$$

$$t = \frac{123}{5} = 24.6 \text{ years} \quad (29)$$

Thus Betty now thinks that Andy is $21 + 24.6 = 45.6$ years old. Andy's age, of course, hasn't changed as Betty jumps between ships; only her perception of it has changed.

In her own frame, Betty says the return trip to Earth takes 9 years, so by the same logic as in 26, she says that 5.4 years elapse for Andy, making him $45.6 + 5.4 = 51$ years old when she arrives home. Thus both Andy and Betty agree on Andy's age.

The difference between the two twins is, of course, that Betty undergoes deceleration and then acceleration in the reverse direction when she jumps between ships at the star. A more realistic treatment would have Betty gradually decelerating as she approached the star and then gradually accelerating as she got onto the other ship for the journey home. During this process, Betty is continuously changing reference frames resulting in her 'seeing' Andy age rapidly (but continuously, rather than the jump in age from 26.4 to 45.6 that happens here) in the process. Thus the paradox is real, in the sense that different amounts of time actually do pass for the two twins.

PINGBACKS

Pingback: Another trip to Alpha Centauri: more Lorentz transformation examples