

FIRST ORDER NON-DEGENERATE PERTURBATION THEORY

Link to: [physicspages home page](#).

To leave a comment or report an error, please use the auxiliary blog.

References: Griffiths, David J. (2005), Introduction to Quantum Mechanics, 2nd Edition; Pearson Education - Problem 6.1.

All the quantum systems we've seen so far are special in the sense that we could obtain exact solutions for the wave function and energy levels. Needless to say, such systems are the exception rather than the rule, so we need methods for handling more realistic cases.

Of course, we could jump straight to numerical solutions of the Schrödinger equation, and this is, in fact, done in many cases. However, there are several techniques by which we can find approximate solutions to systems where the hamiltonian is a relatively minor modification of an exactly-solved system. We'll have a look at first order perturbation theory for non-degenerate systems here. (That's the simplest case, if you're wondering.)

The idea is that we begin by assuming that we have a complete set of solutions to the equation

$$(0.1) \quad H_0 \psi_{n0} = E_{n0} \psi_{n0}$$

The notation gets a bit clumsy here, but the subscript 0 indicates that this is exactly-known system, and the subscript n refers to the energy level, as usual. We're assuming that the system is non-degenerate, that is, that there is exactly one state for each energy.

Now suppose we introduce a perturbation into the hamiltonian, so we now have

$$(0.2) \quad H = H_0 + \lambda V$$

where λ is a real value, assumed small, and V is a time-independent function. We would like to solve

$$(0.3) \quad H \psi_n = E_n \psi_n$$

but in most cases, this won't be possible, at least exactly.

We make the assumption at this point that the energies and wave functions can be expanded in powers of λ :

$$(0.4) \quad E_n = E_{n0} + \lambda E_{n1} + \lambda^2 E_{n2} + \dots$$

$$(0.5) \quad \psi_n = \psi_{n0} + \lambda \psi_{n1} + \lambda^2 \psi_{n2} + \dots$$

The subscripts $n0, n1$ and so on indicate the n th energy level and the 0th, 1st, etc order term in the expansion. We can plug these expansions back into the original equation to get

$$(0.6) \quad (H_0 + \lambda V) (\psi_{n0} + \lambda \psi_{n1} + \lambda^2 \psi_{n2} + \dots) = (E_{n0} + \lambda E_{n1} + \lambda^2 E_{n2} + \dots) (\psi_{n0} + \lambda \psi_{n1} + \lambda^2 \psi_{n2} + \dots)$$

Equating individual powers of λ we get

$$(0.7) \quad H_0 \psi_{n0} = E_{n0} \psi_{n0}$$

$$(0.8) \quad H_0 \psi_{n1} + V \psi_{n0} = E_{n0} \psi_{n1} + E_{n1} \psi_{n0}$$

$$(0.9) \quad H_0 \psi_{n2} + V \psi_{n1} = E_{n0} \psi_{n2} + E_{n1} \psi_{n1} + E_{n2} \psi_{n0}$$

The first of these is just the original unperturbed equation. The second equation can be fiddled a bit as follows. Using the bra-ket notation $\psi_{ni} = |ni\rangle$, we can multiply both sides by $\langle n0|$ and get

$$(0.10) \quad \langle n0|H_0|n1\rangle + \langle n0|V|n0\rangle = \langle n0|E_{n0}|n1\rangle + \langle n0|E_{n1}|n0\rangle$$

Since H_0 is hermitian, we can swap it to the bra end in the first term and then use $\langle H_0 n0| = E_{n0}$, and since the E_{ni} s are just constants, they come out of the brackets on the right, and since $|n0\rangle$ is normalized, we get

$$(0.11) \quad E_{n0} \langle n0|n1\rangle + \langle n0|V|n0\rangle = E_{n0} \langle n0|n1\rangle + E_{n1}$$

$$(0.12) \quad E_{n1} = \langle n0|V|n0\rangle$$

That is, the first-order correction to the energy is given by the expectation value of the perturbation to the hamiltonian in the *unperturbed* wave function ψ_{n0} .

To get the first order correction to the wave function, we expand ψ_{n1} in terms of the complete set of eigenfunctions of H_0 :

$$(0.13) \quad \psi_{n1} = \sum_j c_{nj} \psi_{j0}$$

We can plug this into the first order equation above and get

$$(0.14) \quad H_0 \sum_j c_{nj} \psi_{j0} + V \psi_{n0} = E_{n0} \sum_j c_{nj} \psi_{j0} + E_{n1} \psi_{n0}$$

Since the ψ_{j0} form an orthonormal set, we can use $H_0 \psi_{j0} = E_{j0} \psi_{j0}$ and take the inner product with ψ_{k0} for some specific index k . If we choose $k \neq n$, then

$$(0.15) \quad c_{nk} E_{k0} + \langle k0 | V | n0 \rangle = c_{nk} E_{n0}$$

$$(0.16) \quad c_{nk} = \frac{\langle k0 | V | n0 \rangle}{E_{n0} - E_{k0}}$$

If $k = n$, then

$$(0.17) \quad c_{nn} E_{n0} + \langle n0 | V | n0 \rangle = c_{nn} E_{n0} + E_{n1}$$

$$(0.18) \quad E_{n1} = E_{n1}$$

Thus the $k = n$ term tells us nothing new. In fact, we can just leave out this term from the expansion, since the contribution of the $k = n$ term is already present in the original unperturbed wave function, which is *entirely* ψ_{n0} . Thus the first-order perturbation to the wave function is

$$(0.19) \quad \psi_{n1} = \sum_{j \neq n} \frac{\langle j0 | V | n0 \rangle}{E_{n0} - E_{j0}} \psi_{j0}$$

Note that the assumption of non-degeneracy is crucial here, since if $E_{n0} = E_{j0}$ for some $j \neq n$, we would be dividing by zero.

As an example of these formulas, we can consider the case of a delta-function perturbation in the centre of the infinite square well. That is, we introduce

$$(0.20) \quad V = \alpha \delta \left(x - \frac{a}{2} \right)$$

The exact wave functions in the unperturbed square well are

$$(0.21) \quad \psi_{n0} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{a}} \sin \frac{n\pi x}{a}$$

The energy perturbation is

$$(0.22) \quad E_{n1} = \langle n0 | V | n0 \rangle$$

$$(0.23) \quad = \frac{2\alpha}{a} \sin^2 \frac{n\pi}{2}$$

For odd n this is $E_{n1} = 2\alpha/a$, while for even n $E_{n1} = 0$. The latter result is due to the fact that for even n $\psi_{n0}(a/2) = 0$ so the delta function isn't seen.

We can work out the perturbation in the wave function for the case $n = 1$. We have

$$(0.24) \quad \psi_{11} = \sum_{j \neq 1} \frac{\langle j0 | V | 10 \rangle}{E_{10} - E_{j0}} \psi_{j0}$$

The unperturbed energy levels are

$$(0.25) \quad E_{j0} = \frac{(j\pi\hbar)^2}{2ma^2}$$

so

$$(0.26) \quad E_{10} - E_{j0} = (1 - j^2) \frac{\pi^2 \hbar^2}{2ma^2}$$

The matrix elements are

$$(0.27) \quad \langle j0 | V | 10 \rangle = \langle j0 | \alpha \delta \left(x - \frac{a}{2} \right) | 10 \rangle$$

$$(0.28) \quad = \frac{2\alpha}{a} \sin \frac{\pi}{2} \sin \frac{j\pi}{2}$$

$$(0.29) \quad = \frac{2\alpha}{a} \sin \frac{j\pi}{2}$$

Putting it all together, we get

$$(0.30) \quad \psi_{11} = \frac{m\alpha}{\pi^2 \hbar^2} \sqrt{\frac{a}{2}} \left[\sin \frac{3\pi x}{a} - \frac{1}{3} \sin \frac{5\pi x}{a} + \frac{1}{6} \sin \frac{7\pi x}{a} + \dots \right]$$

So the complete wave function is

$$(0.31) \quad \psi = \sqrt{\frac{2}{a}} \sin \frac{\pi x}{a} + \frac{m\alpha}{\pi^2 \hbar^2} \sqrt{\frac{a}{2}} \left[\sin \frac{3\pi x}{a} - \frac{1}{3} \sin \frac{5\pi x}{a} + \frac{1}{6} \sin \frac{7\pi x}{a} + \dots \right]$$

PINGBACKS

- Pingback: Bosons in the infinite square well
- Pingback: Second order non-degenerate perturbation theory
- Pingback: Harmonic oscillator in an electric field
- Pingback: Degenerate perturbation theory: two states
- Pingback: Perturbing a particle on a circular wire
- Pingback: Perturbation of 3-d square well
- Pingback: Degenerate perturbation in 3 state system
- Pingback: Perturbation theory for higher-level degenerate systems
- Pingback: Fine structure constant
- Pingback: Fine structure of hydrogen: relativistic correction
- Pingback: Harmonic oscillator: relativistic correction
- Pingback: Perturbing the 3-d harmonic oscillator
- Pingback: Van der Waals interaction
- Pingback: Feynman-Hellmann theorem and the harmonic oscillator
- Pingback: Stark effect in hydrogen for $n = 1$ and $n = 2$
- Pingback: Hydrogen atom in a crystal lattice
- Pingback: Perturbing the wave function (Stark effect and proton electric dipole moment)
 - Pingback: Perturbation theory and the variational principle
 - Pingback: Variational principle with a two-state hamiltonian
 - Pingback: WKB approximation
 - Pingback: Time-dependent Schrödinger equation: switching a perturbation on and off