

DIRAC EQUATION: NONUNIQUENESS OF SOLUTIONS

Link to: physicspages home page.

To leave a comment or report an error, please use the auxiliary blog.

Post date: 7 June 2018.

References: Amitabha Lahiri & P. B. Pal, *A First Book of Quantum Field Theory*, Second Edition (Alpha Science International, 2004) - Chapter 4.

Using the Dirac Hamiltonian, the Dirac equation is, in terms of the gamma matrices

$$i\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\psi(x) = \gamma^0(-i\boldsymbol{\gamma}\cdot\nabla + m)\psi(x) \quad (1)$$

Using $(\gamma^0)^2 = 1$, we can multiply by γ^0 on the left to get

$$(i\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu - m)\psi(x) = 0 \quad (2)$$

The operation of multiplying a vector by γ^μ and summing is quite common when analyzing the Dirac equation, so a special notation called the *slash notation* is defined as a shorthand. This is

$$\not{a} \equiv \gamma^\mu a_\mu = \gamma_\mu a^\mu \quad (3)$$

Using this definition, the Dirac equation takes on the compact form

$$(i\not{\partial} - m)\psi(x) = 0 \quad (4)$$

The gamma matrices are 4×4 matrices which satisfy the conditions

$$\{\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu\} = 2g^{\mu\nu} \quad (5)$$

$$(\gamma^\mu)^\dagger = \gamma^0\gamma^\mu\gamma^0 \quad (6)$$

If we define an alternative set of gamma matrices by

$$\tilde{\gamma}^\mu = U\gamma^\mu U^\dagger \quad (7)$$

where U is a unitary matrix, so that $U^\dagger = U^{-1}$, then 5 and 6 are still satisfied. For example

$$\{\tilde{\gamma}^\mu, \tilde{\gamma}^\nu\} = U\gamma^\mu U^\dagger U\gamma^\nu U^\dagger + U\gamma^\nu U^\dagger U\gamma^\mu U^\dagger \quad (8)$$

$$= U\gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu U^\dagger + U\gamma^\nu \gamma^\mu U^\dagger \quad (9)$$

$$= U\{\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu\}U^\dagger \quad (10)$$

$$= 2Ug^{\mu\nu}U^\dagger \quad (11)$$

$$= 2UU^\dagger g^{\mu\nu} \quad (12)$$

$$= 2g^{\mu\nu} \quad (13)$$

where the penultimate line follows from the fact that $g^{\mu\nu}$ commutes with any matrix since it is diagonal.

Because the gamma matrices are not unique, the solution $\psi(x)$ (a column vector with 4 elements) is not unique either. Suppose $\tilde{\psi}(x)$ satisfies 2 with γ^μ replaced by $\tilde{\gamma}^\mu$. Then

$$(i\tilde{\gamma}^\mu \partial_\mu - m) \tilde{\psi}(x) = (iU\gamma^\mu U^\dagger \partial_\mu - m) \tilde{\psi}(x) \quad (14)$$

$$= (iU\gamma^\mu U^\dagger \partial_\mu - UmU^\dagger) \tilde{\psi}(x) \quad (15)$$

$$= U(i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu - m)U^\dagger \tilde{\psi}(x) \quad (16)$$

This result is equivalent to 2 if $\tilde{\psi}(x) = U\psi(x)$.

PINGBACKS

Pingback: Dirac equation: lorentz covariance

Pingback: Gamma matrices: Objects behaving like vectors or tensors

Pingback: Dirac spinors: normalization