

## SECOND QUANTIZING A SINGLE-PARTICLE OPERATOR

Link to: physicspages home page.

To leave a comment or report an error, please use the auxiliary blog.

Reference: Tom Lancaster and Stephen J. Blundell, *Quantum Field Theory for the Gifted Amateur*, (Oxford University Press, 2014), Section 4.2.

*Second quantization* is the expression of quantum mechanical states using particles rather than waves. We use creation and annihilation operators acting on particle states to make transitions between states. If we have a single-particle operator  $\hat{A}$  we can expand it using two unit operators as follows:

$$\hat{A} = \sum_{\alpha} |\alpha\rangle \langle\alpha| \hat{A} \sum_{\beta} |\beta\rangle \langle\beta| \quad (1)$$

$$= \sum_{\alpha,\beta} |\alpha\rangle \mathcal{A}_{\alpha\beta} \langle\beta| \quad (2)$$

where

$$\mathcal{A}_{\alpha\beta} \equiv \langle\alpha| \hat{A} |\beta\rangle \quad (3)$$

Each of the sets  $|\alpha\rangle$  and  $|\beta\rangle$  are complete, orthonormal sets of states for a single particle. For multi-particle systems, we use the creation and annihilation operators  $a_{\mathbf{p}}^{\dagger}$  and  $a_{\mathbf{p}}$  to add or subtract particles from a state, so we'd like to know how to define a multi-particle version  $\hat{A}$  of the single-particle operator  $\hat{A}$ .

The route to this end is a bit complex, so bear with me. Suppose we have a system of  $N$  particles. To satisfy the symmetry rules for bosons and fermions, we can write a state of these  $N$  particles as

$$|\psi_1, \dots, \psi_N\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N!}} \sum_P \xi^P \prod_{i=1}^N |\psi_{P(i)}\rangle \quad (4)$$

This notation requires a bit of explanation. First, we're assuming that each of the  $N$  particles is in a different state and that these states are orthonormal. Only in this case is the normalization factor  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{N!}}$ . (For example, if we put 2 bosons into the same state  $|\psi_1\rangle$ , the wave function is  $\frac{1}{2} |\psi_1\rangle |\psi_1\rangle$ , not  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |\psi_1\rangle |\psi_1\rangle$ .) The  $P$  refers to a permutation of the integers  $1, \dots, N$ , and  $P(i)$  is the  $i$ th integer in the permutation  $P$ . The product term  $\prod_{i=1}^N |\psi_{P(i)}\rangle$

is a product of  $N$  single-particle states in a certain order, where the order of the state in the product determines its spatial coordinate. For example, if  $N = 3$  then one permutation is  $P = 3, 1, 2$  so for that permutation

$$\prod_{i=1}^3 |\psi_{P(i)}\rangle = |\psi_3(\mathbf{x}_1)\rangle |\psi_1(\mathbf{x}_2)\rangle |\psi_2(\mathbf{x}_3)\rangle \quad (5)$$

The factor  $\xi$  is  $+1$  for bosons and  $-1$  for fermions, and the sum over  $P$  sums over all  $N!$  possible permutations of the integers  $1, \dots, N$ , so the state  $|\psi_1, \dots, \psi_N\rangle$  consists of  $N!$  terms, each of which contains a product of  $N$  different single particle states. [The fact that the states are all different isn't mentioned in L&B's book, but it seems to me that this is a necessary condition.]

For the purposes of using  $P$  as an exponent in  $\xi^P$ ,  $P$  can be regarded as the number of swaps of integers in the original sequence  $1, \dots, N$  are required to get the permutation  $P$ . Thus, to get  $3, 1, 2$  from  $1, 2, 3$  we swap 1 with 2, then 2 with 3, so there are 2 swaps. Permutations requiring an even (odd) number of swaps are called even (odd) permutations.

Now suppose we have a different  $N$ -particle state given by

$$|\chi_1, \dots, \chi_N\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N!}} \sum_Q \xi^Q \prod_{j=1}^N |\chi_{Q(j)}\rangle \quad (6)$$

The single-particle states  $|\chi_i\rangle$  also form a complete orthonormal set, but they could be a different such set from the  $|\psi_i\rangle$ . If we take the inner product of these two  $N$ -particle states we get the rather horrible expression

$$\langle \chi_1, \dots, \chi_N | \psi_1, \dots, \psi_N \rangle = \frac{1}{N!} \sum_{P, Q} \xi^{P+Q} \prod_{i=1}^N \langle \chi_{Q(i)} | \psi_{P(i)} \rangle \quad (7)$$

We need only one product since we are summing over both permutations  $P$  and  $Q$ , so we get all possible inner products between terms from 4 and 6. For example, if  $N = 2$ , then for fermions

$$|\psi_1 \psi_2\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|\psi_1(\mathbf{x}_1)\rangle |\psi_2(\mathbf{x}_2)\rangle - |\psi_1(\mathbf{x}_2)\rangle |\psi_2(\mathbf{x}_1)\rangle) \quad (8)$$

$$|\chi_1 \chi_2\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|\chi_1(\mathbf{x}_1)\rangle |\chi_2(\mathbf{x}_2)\rangle - |\chi_1(\mathbf{x}_2)\rangle |\chi_2(\mathbf{x}_1)\rangle) \quad (9)$$

On the RHS, we can form inner products only between single-particle states that use the same spatial coordinate and, since  $\langle \chi_i(\mathbf{x}_1) | \psi_j(\mathbf{x}_1) \rangle = \langle \chi_i(\mathbf{x}_2) | \psi_j(\mathbf{x}_2) \rangle$  (since we're integrating over all space on both sides, the integration coordinate doesn't matter) we get

$$\langle \chi_1 \chi_2 | \psi_1 \psi_2 \rangle = \frac{1}{2} [2 \langle \chi_1 | \psi_1 \rangle \langle \chi_2 | \psi_2 \rangle - 2 \langle \chi_1 | \psi_2 \rangle \langle \chi_2 | \psi_1 \rangle] \quad (10)$$

$$= \langle \chi_1 | \psi_1 \rangle \langle \chi_2 | \psi_2 \rangle - \langle \chi_1 | \psi_2 \rangle \langle \chi_2 | \psi_1 \rangle \quad (11)$$

For any given permutation of the  $\psi_i$  or  $\chi_i$ , the position coordinates can be distributed among the  $N$  single-particle states in  $N!$  ways. If we choose a permutation  $Q$  for  $|\chi_1, \dots, \chi_N\rangle$  and  $P$  for  $|\psi_1, \dots, \psi_N\rangle$ , then the product  $\prod_{i=1}^N \langle \chi_{Q(i)} | \psi_{P(i)} \rangle$  occurs  $N!$  times because of the  $N!$  ways of assigning positions. For example, for  $N = 2$ , we can choose  $Q = 1, 2$  and  $P = 1, 2$  so that

$$\prod_{i=1}^2 \langle \chi_{Q(i)} | \psi_{P(i)} \rangle = \langle \chi_1 | \psi_1 \rangle \langle \chi_2 | \psi_2 \rangle \quad (12)$$

This combination can occur with  $\chi_1$  and  $\psi_1$  functions of  $\mathbf{x}_1$  and  $\chi_2$  and  $\psi_2$  functions of  $\mathbf{x}_2$  or  $\chi_1$  and  $\psi_1$  functions of  $\mathbf{x}_2$  and  $\chi_2$  and  $\psi_2$  functions of  $\mathbf{x}_1$ . In general, for any pairing of  $\chi_i$  states with  $\psi_i$  states, there are  $N!$  ways of distributing the position coordinates, each of which gives the same product of single-particle inner products. We can rewrite this by always ordering the  $\chi_i$  states in their original order  $1, \dots, N$  and pairing this ordering with each permutation  $P$  of  $\psi_i$  states. That is

$$\langle \chi_1, \dots, \chi_N | \psi_1, \dots, \psi_N \rangle = \frac{1}{N!} \sum_P \xi^P N! \prod_{i=1}^N \langle \chi_i | \psi_{P(i)} \rangle \quad (13)$$

$$= \sum_P \xi^P \prod_{i=1}^N \langle \chi_i | \psi_{P(i)} \rangle \quad (14)$$

For fermions (with  $\xi = -1$ ) this is actually the definition of the determinant of a matrix (we'll accept this mathematical result):

$$\langle \chi_1, \dots, \chi_N | \psi_1, \dots, \psi_N \rangle_{\text{fermions}} = \begin{vmatrix} \langle \chi_1 | \psi_1 \rangle & \langle \chi_1 | \psi_2 \rangle & \dots & \langle \chi_1 | \psi_N \rangle \\ \langle \chi_2 | \psi_1 \rangle & \langle \chi_2 | \psi_2 \rangle & \dots & \langle \chi_2 | \psi_N \rangle \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \langle \chi_N | \psi_1 \rangle & \langle \chi_N | \psi_2 \rangle & \dots & \langle \chi_N | \psi_N \rangle \end{vmatrix} \quad (15)$$

For bosons, the equivalent structure is called the *permanent* of a matrix. A permanent is the same as a determinant except all the minus signs are replaced by plus signs. It doesn't seem to have its own notation so we'll just write it as 'perm'.

$$\langle \chi_1, \dots, \chi_N | \psi_1, \dots, \psi_N \rangle_{\text{bosons}} = \text{perm} \begin{bmatrix} \langle \chi_1 | \psi_1 \rangle & \langle \chi_1 | \psi_2 \rangle & \dots & \langle \chi_1 | \psi_N \rangle \\ \langle \chi_2 | \psi_1 \rangle & \langle \chi_2 | \psi_2 \rangle & \dots & \langle \chi_2 | \psi_N \rangle \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \langle \chi_N | \psi_1 \rangle & \langle \chi_N | \psi_2 \rangle & \dots & \langle \chi_N | \psi_N \rangle \end{bmatrix} \quad (16)$$

Now we can apply a creation operator  $a_\phi^\dagger$  to the state  $|\psi_1, \dots, \psi_N\rangle$  (as far as I can tell, the state  $\phi$  can be any state, including one that is a linear combination of the  $\psi_i$ ). This gives

$$a_\phi^\dagger |\psi_1, \dots, \psi_N\rangle = |\phi, \psi_1, \dots, \psi_N\rangle \quad (17)$$

If we want to discover the action of an annihilation operator, things are a bit more complicated, since we can choose to annihilate a linear combination of the basis states rather than just a single basis state. Again, as far as I can tell, this annihilation operation works only on the original  $N$ -particle state  $|\psi_1, \dots, \psi_N\rangle$ . We want to find  $a_\phi |\psi_1, \dots, \psi_N\rangle$  so we take the inner product with some other state  $|\chi_1, \dots, \chi_{N-1}\rangle$  (we use an  $N-1$  particle state so that the number of particles match up on both sides):

$$\langle \chi_1, \dots, \chi_{N-1} | a_\phi |\psi_1, \dots, \psi_N\rangle = \langle \psi_1, \dots, \psi_{N-1} | a_\phi^\dagger | \chi_1, \dots, \chi_N \rangle^* \quad (18)$$

$$= \langle \psi_1, \dots, \psi_N | \phi, \chi_1, \dots, \chi_{N-1} \rangle^* \quad (19)$$

$$= \begin{vmatrix} \langle \psi_1 | \phi \rangle & \langle \psi_1 | \chi_1 \rangle & \dots & \langle \psi_1 | \chi_{N-1} \rangle \\ \langle \psi_2 | \phi \rangle & \langle \psi_2 | \chi_1 \rangle & \dots & \langle \psi_2 | \chi_{N-1} \rangle \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \langle \psi_N | \phi \rangle & \langle \psi_N | \chi_1 \rangle & \dots & \langle \psi_N | \chi_{N-1} \rangle \end{vmatrix}_\xi^* \quad (20)$$

where the subscript  $\xi$  on the determinant means to use the permanent if we're talking about bosons so that  $\xi = 1$ . From here on, I mean 'determinant or permanent' whenever I say 'determinant'. We can expand the determinant about the first column to get

$$\langle \chi_1, \dots, \chi_{N-1} | a_\phi |\psi_1, \dots, \psi_N\rangle = \sum_{k=1}^N \xi^{k-1} \langle \psi_k | \phi \rangle^* \langle \psi_1, \dots, (\text{no } \psi_k), \dots, \psi_N | \chi_1, \dots, \chi_{N-1} \rangle^* \quad (21)$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^N \xi^{k-1} \langle \phi | \psi_k \rangle \langle \chi_1, \dots, \chi_{N-1} | \psi_1, \dots, (\text{no } \psi_k), \dots, \psi_N \rangle \quad (22)$$

where the state  $|\psi_1, \dots, (\text{no } \psi_k), \dots, \psi_N\rangle$  is the state  $|\psi_1, \dots, \psi_{N-1}\rangle$  without  $\psi_k$ . The clever thing about this form is that we made no assumptions about the state  $|\chi_1, \dots, \chi_{N-1}\rangle$  so we can remove it from both sides to get

$$a_\phi |\psi_1, \dots, \psi_N\rangle = \sum_{k=1}^N \xi^{k-1} \langle \phi | \psi_k \rangle |\psi_1, \dots, (\text{no } \psi_k), \dots, \psi_N\rangle \quad (23)$$

**Example 1.** Suppose we have a 3-boson system and

$$|\phi\rangle = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{3} |\psi_1\rangle + \frac{\sqrt{6}}{3} |\psi_2\rangle + \frac{1}{3} |\psi_3\rangle \quad (24)$$

Then

$$\langle \phi | \psi_1 \rangle = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{3} \quad (25)$$

$$\langle \phi | \psi_2 \rangle = \frac{\sqrt{6}}{3} \quad (26)$$

$$\langle \phi | \psi_3 \rangle = \frac{1}{3} \quad (27)$$

so

$$a_\phi |\psi_1 \psi_2 \psi_3\rangle = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{3} |\psi_2 \psi_3\rangle + \frac{\sqrt{6}}{3} |\psi_1 \psi_3\rangle + \frac{1}{3} |\psi_1 \psi_2\rangle \quad (28)$$

Now suppose we apply a creation operator:

$$a_\alpha^\dagger a_\phi |\psi_1, \dots, \psi_N\rangle = \sum_{k=1}^N \xi^{k-1} \langle \phi | \psi_k \rangle a_\alpha^\dagger |\psi_1, \dots, (\text{no } \psi_k), \dots, \psi_N\rangle \quad (29)$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^N \xi^{k-1} \langle \phi | \psi_k \rangle |\alpha, \psi_1, \dots, (\text{no } \psi_k), \dots, \psi_N\rangle \quad (30)$$

For the fermion case, we can swap  $\alpha$  with all the states  $\psi_1, \dots, \psi_{k-1}$  and since swapping rows in a determinant changes the sign, this results in a factor of  $\xi^{k-1}$  which eliminates the other  $\xi^{k-1}$  (since  $\xi^{2(k-1)} = 1$  always), so we get the final form

$$a_\alpha^\dagger a_\phi |\psi_1, \dots, \psi_N\rangle = \sum_{k=1}^N \langle \phi | \psi_k \rangle |\psi_1, \dots, \psi_{k-1}, \alpha, \psi_{k+1}, \dots, \psi_N\rangle \quad (31)$$

For bosons, swapping columns in a permanent makes no difference to the result and since  $\xi = 1$  in this case we can just ignore the  $\xi^{k-1}$  factor.

After all this, we can get back to our original operator  $\hat{A}$ . Since it's a single-particle operator, we can assume that its multi-particle equivalent's effect on a multi-particle state is the sum of the single-particle operator's effects on each individual particle within the state. That is, from 2 the inner product of the state  $\langle\beta|$  is taken with each  $|\psi_k\rangle$  in turn and the result summed over  $k$ . Calling the multi-particle operator  $\hat{A}$  we have

$$\hat{A}|\psi_1, \dots, \psi_N\rangle = \sum_k \sum_{\alpha, \beta} |\alpha\rangle \mathcal{A}_{\alpha\beta} \langle\beta|\psi_k\rangle |\psi_1, \dots, (\text{no } \psi_k), \dots, \psi_N\rangle \quad (32)$$

$$= \sum_{\alpha, \beta} \mathcal{A}_{\alpha\beta} \langle\beta|\psi_k\rangle |\psi_1, \dots, \psi_{k-1}, \alpha, \psi_{k+1}, \dots, \psi_N\rangle \quad (33)$$

$$= \sum_{\alpha, \beta} \mathcal{A}_{\alpha\beta} a_\alpha^\dagger a_\beta |\psi_1, \dots, \psi_N\rangle \quad (34)$$

In the second line we inserted the state  $|\alpha\rangle$  into  $|\psi_1, \dots, (\text{no } \psi_k), \dots, \psi_N\rangle$  at the position occupied by  $\psi_k$  since  $\hat{A}$  is a single-particle operator so it operates on the same coordinate throughout (that is  $\langle\beta|$  operates on the same coordinate as  $|\alpha\rangle$ ). Therefore the multi-particle operator is

$$\hat{A} = \sum_{\alpha, \beta} \mathcal{A}_{\alpha\beta} a_\alpha^\dagger a_\beta \quad (35)$$

We can think of this as the operator looking for a particle (or component of a particle) in each state  $\beta$ , removing that particle and operating on it with the single-particle operator  $\hat{A}$  and then reinserting the particle in state  $|\alpha\rangle$ .

#### PINGBACKS

Pingback: [Second quantizing operators - examples](#)

Pingback: [Second quantizing the tight-binding hamiltonian](#)