

## HERMITIAN OPERATORS

Link to: physicspages home page.

To leave a comment or report an error, please use the auxiliary blog and include the title or URL of this post in your comment.

Post date: 4 Jan 2021.

A hermitian operator  $T$  satisfies  $T = T^\dagger$ . [Some books refer to a hermitian operator as *self-adjoint* and some use the notation  $T^*$  for  $T^\dagger$ . Some books (such as Axler) also denote a complex conjugate by a bar over a symbol rather than an asterisk.]

As preparation for discussing hermitian operators, we need the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.** *If  $T$  is a linear operator in a complex vector space  $V$ , then if  $\langle v, Tv \rangle = 0$  for all  $v \in V$ , then  $T = 0$ .*

*Proof.* The idea is to show something even more general, namely that  $\langle u, Tv \rangle = 0$  for all  $u, v \in V$ . If we can do this, then setting  $u = Tv$  means that  $\langle Tv, Tv \rangle = 0$  for all  $v \in V$ , which in turn implies that  $Tv = 0$  for all  $v \in V$ , implying further that  $T = 0$ .

Zwiebach goes through a few stages in developing the proof, but the end result is that we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \langle u, Tv \rangle &= \frac{1}{4} [\langle u+v, T(u+v) \rangle - \langle u-v, T(u-v) \rangle] + \\ &\quad \frac{1}{4i} [\langle u+iv, T(u+iv) \rangle - \langle u-iv, T(u-iv) \rangle] \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

You can verify this by multiplying out the RHS. Note that all the terms on the RHS are of the form  $\langle x, Tx \rangle$  for some  $x$ . Thus if we require  $\langle x, Tx \rangle = 0$  for all  $x \in V$ , then all four terms are separately 0, meaning that  $\langle u, Tv \rangle = 0$  as desired, completing the proof.  $\square$

Although we've used the imaginary number  $i$  in this proof, we might wonder if it really does restrict the result to complex vector spaces. That is, is there some other decomposition of  $\langle u, Tv \rangle$  that *doesn't* require complex numbers that would still work?

In fact, we don't need to worry about this, since there is a simple counterexample to the theorem if we consider a real vector space. In 2-d or 3-d space, an operator  $T$  that rotates a vector through  $\frac{\pi}{2}$  always produces a

vector orthogonal to the original, resulting in  $\langle v, Tv \rangle = 0$  for all  $v$ . In this case,  $T \neq 0$  so the theorem is definitely *not* true for real vector spaces.

Now we can turn to a few theorems about hermitian operators. First, since every operator on a finite-dimensional complex vector space has at least one eigenvalue, we know that every hermitian operator has at least one eigenvalue. This leads to the first theorem on hermitian operators.

**Theorem 2.** *All eigenvalues of hermitian operators are real.*

*Proof.* Since at least one eigenvalue  $\lambda$  exists, let  $v$  be the corresponding non-zero eigenvector, so that  $Tv = \lambda v$ . We have

$$\langle v, Tv \rangle = \langle v, \lambda v \rangle = \lambda \langle v, v \rangle \quad (2)$$

Since  $T = T^\dagger$  we also have

$$\langle v, Tv \rangle = \langle T^\dagger v, v \rangle = \langle Tv, v \rangle = \langle \lambda v, v \rangle = \lambda^* \langle v, v \rangle \quad (3)$$

Equating the last two equations, and remembering that  $\langle v, v \rangle \neq 0$ , we have  $\lambda = \lambda^*$ , so  $\lambda$  is real.  $\square$

This theorem has an important application to quantum mechanics, where it turns out that any operator that represents a physically measurable quantity is hermitian, meaning that it has real eigenvalues. Physical quantities must, of course, have real values, not complex ones.

Next, a theorem on the eigenvectors of distinct eigenvalues.

**Theorem 3.** *Eigenvectors associated with different eigenvalues of a hermitian operator are orthogonal.*

*Proof.* Suppose  $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$  are two eigenvalues of  $T$ , and  $v_1$  and  $v_2$  are the corresponding eigenvectors. Then  $Tv_1 = \lambda_1 v_1$  and  $Tv_2 = \lambda_2 v_2$ . Taking an inner product, we have

$$\langle v_2, Tv_1 \rangle = \lambda_1 \langle v_2, v_1 \rangle \quad (4)$$

$$\langle v_2, Tv_1 \rangle = \langle Tv_2, v_1 \rangle \quad (5)$$

$$= \lambda_2 \langle v_2, v_1 \rangle \quad (6)$$

where in the last line we used the fact that  $\lambda_2$  is real when taking it outside the inner product. Equating the first and last lines and using  $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$ , we see that  $\langle v_2, v_1 \rangle = 0$  as required.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- (1) Axler, Sheldon (2015), *Linear Algebra Done Right*, 3rd edition, Springer. Chapter 3.

- (2) Zwiebach, Barton, Online course *Mastering Quantum Mechanics Part 1: Wave Mechanics*. Archive available [here](#).
- (3) Liesen, Jörg & Mehrmann, Volker (2015), *Linear Algebra*, Chapter 11.
- (4) Landi, Giovanni & Zampini, Alessandro (2018), *Linear Algebra and Analytic Geometry for Physical Sciences*, Chapter 10.
- (5) Shankar, R. (1994), *Principles of Quantum Mechanics*, Plenum Press, Chapter 1.

## PINGBACKS

Pingback: Normal operators

Pingback: Functions of hermitian operators

Pingback: Momentum eigenfunctions and eigenvalues