

## SCALAR AND VECTOR PRODUCTS OF COMPLEX NUMBERS

Link to: [physicspages home page](#).

To leave a comment or report an error, please use the auxiliary blog and include the title or URL of this post in your comment.

Post date: 10 November 2024.

A complex number can be represented as a vector in the complex plane, extending from the origin to the point  $z = x + iy$ . Given this interpretation, we can see how the scalar and vector products of linear algebra can be written in terms of complex numbers.

Consider first the scalar product. For two vectors  $v_1 = [x_1, y_1]$  and  $v_2 = [x_2, y_2]$ , the scalar product is

$$v_1 \cdot v_2 = x_1x_2 + y_1y_2 \quad (1)$$

If we represent these vectors by complex numbers  $z_1$  and  $z_2$  and form the product

$$\bar{z}_1 z_2 = (x_1 - iy_1)(x_2 + iy_2) \quad (2)$$

$$= x_1x_2 + y_1y_2 + i(x_1y_2 - x_2y_1) \quad (3)$$

we see that the scalar product is the real part. That is

$$v_1 \cdot v_2 = \Re(\bar{z}_1 z_2) \quad (4)$$

**Theorem 1.** *Two vectors have zero scalar product if and only if they are orthogonal.*

*Proof.* An 'if and only if' proof requires proof in both directions.

From the polar representation of a complex number we see that  $z_1$  is orthogonal to  $z_2$  if its argument is  $\frac{\pi}{2}$  greater or less than that of  $z_2$ . Since  $i$  has an argument of  $\frac{\pi}{2}$ , this means that  $z_1$  is orthogonal to  $z_2$  if

$$z_1 = icz_2 \quad (5)$$

where  $c$  is a real number. Plugging this into 3 we have

$$\bar{z}_1 z_2 = -ic\bar{z}_2 z_2 \quad (6)$$

$$= -ic|z_2|^2 \quad (7)$$

Thus  $\Re(\bar{z}_1 z_2) = 0$  and thus if  $z_1 \perp z_2$  the scalar product is zero.

To prove the opposite direction, it is easier to use polar form. That is, we have

$$\begin{aligned} z_1 &= r_1 \operatorname{cis} \theta_1 \\ z_2 &= r_2 \operatorname{cis} \theta_2 \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

The product  $\bar{z}_1 z_2$  is then

$$\bar{z}_1 z_2 = r_1 r_2 \operatorname{cis}(\theta_2 - \theta_1) \quad (9)$$

If this is zero, then

$$\Re(\bar{z}_1 z_2) = r_1 r_2 \cos(\theta_2 - \theta_1) = 0 \quad (10)$$

Assuming that  $r_1 \neq 0$  and  $r_2 \neq 0$ , we must therefore have

$$\cos(\theta_2 - \theta_1) = 0 \quad (11)$$

or

$$\theta_2 = \theta_1 + \frac{\pi}{2} + k\pi \quad (12)$$

for integer  $k$ . Thus requiring the scalar product to be zero implies that  $z_1 \perp z_2$ .  $\square$

The vector product of  $v_1$  and  $v_2$  as given above has components

$$v_1 \times v_2 = [0, 0, x_1 y_2 - x_2 y_1] \quad (13)$$

From 3 we see that

$$[v_1 \times v_2]_3 = \Im(\bar{z}_1 z_2) \quad (14)$$

**Theorem 2.** *The complex numbers represented by  $v_1$  and  $v_2$  are parallel (or antiparallel) if and only if  $\Im(\bar{z}_1 z_2) = 0$ .*

*Proof.* The number  $z_1$  is parallel to  $z_2$  if  $z_1 = cz_2$  for some real number  $c$ . In this case

$$\bar{z}_1 z_2 = c \bar{z}_2 z_2 \quad (15)$$

$$= c |z_2|^2 \quad (16)$$

Thus  $\Im(\bar{z}_1 z_2) = 0$  in this case, so the vector product is zero.

Conversely, using polar representations 8

$$\bar{z}_1 z_2 = r_1 r_2 \operatorname{cis}(\theta_2 - \theta_1) \quad (17)$$

$$\Im(\bar{z}_1 z_2) = r_1 r_2 \sin(\theta_2 - \theta_1) \quad (18)$$

Requiring this to be zero gives us  $\theta_2 = \theta_1 + k\pi$  for integer  $k$ , so the two numbers are parallel or antiparallel.  $\square$