

GRAVITOELECTRIC AND GRAVITOMAGNETIC ACCELERATION FOR A MOVING WIRE

Link to: [physicspages home page](#).

To leave a comment or report an error, please use the auxiliary blog.

Reference: Moore, Thomas A., *A General Relativity Workbook*, University Science Books (2013) - Chapter 22, Problem 22.6.

Here's an example of calculating perturbations to the metric in a somewhat artificial but nevertheless instructive case. Suppose we have a thin wire with energy (mass) density λ per unit length, and that it's moving at a speed $V \ll 1$ along the z axis in the $+z$ direction. To calculate the perturbations to the metric, we use the formula

$$(1) \quad h_{jm} = 2G \int \frac{2T_{jm} - \eta_{jm}T}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|} d^3\mathbf{r}'$$

We can regard the wire as a perfect fluid with an internal pressure P_0 that is much less than its energy density λ . In that case

$$(2) \quad 2T_{tt} - \eta_{tt}T \approx \rho_0$$

$$(3) \quad 2T_{tj} - \eta_{tj}T \approx -2\rho_0 u_j$$

The spatial components of the four velocity are

$$(4) \quad u_j = \frac{v_j}{\sqrt{1 - v^2}}$$

so to first order in the wire's velocity

$$(5) \quad u_j = [0, 0, V]$$

and

$$(6) \quad 2T_{tz} - \eta_{tz}T \approx -2\rho_0 V$$

$$(7) \quad 2T_{tx} - \eta_{tx}T = 2T_{ty} - \eta_{ty}T = 0$$

In this one-dimensional example, we replace ρ_0 by the linear density λ and the integral over volume to an integral over the length of the wire. Going back to 1, we have

$$(8) \quad h_{tt} = 2G\lambda \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dz}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2}}$$

$$(9) \quad h_{ti} = \begin{cases} -2Vh_{tt} & i = z \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

This integral is infinite $\left(\int \frac{dz}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2}} = \ln \left(z + \sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2} \right) \right)$ so we can't evaluate it directly. However, to calculate the gravitoelectric and gravitomagnetic densities, we need derivatives of these integrals. [It has to be noted in passing that since h_{tt} is infinite, it hardly qualifies as a 'small' perturbation, but we'll let that pass for now.

$$(10) \quad \partial_x h_{tt} = -2G\lambda x \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dz}{(x^2 + y^2 + z^2)^{3/2}}$$

$$(11) \quad = -2G\lambda \frac{x}{x^2 + y^2} \frac{z}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2}} \Bigg|_{-\infty}^{\infty}$$

$$(12) \quad = -4G\lambda \frac{x}{x^2 + y^2}$$

$$(13) \quad \partial_y h_{tt} = -4G\lambda \frac{y}{x^2 + y^2}$$

The gravitoelectric potential is defined by

$$(14) \quad -\partial_k \Phi_G = \frac{1}{2} \partial_k h_{tt}$$

so we get from 10 and 13

$$(15) \quad h_{tt} = -2G\lambda \ln(x^2 + y^2) - 2K$$

$$(16) \quad \Phi_G = 2G\lambda \ln(x^2 + y^2) + K$$

where K is a constant of integration.

[I'm still bothered by this result, since from the integral above, it would seem that h_{tt} should be infinite for *all* values of x and y . Clearly there's something dodgy in juggling the infinities somewhere, but I'm not sure where.]

Defining the perpendicular distance from the wire as

$$(17) \quad r \equiv \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}$$

we get

$$(18) \quad \Phi_G = 4G\lambda \ln r + K$$

The gravitoelectric acceleration is

$$(19) \quad -\eta^{ik} \partial_k \Phi_G = -\frac{4G\lambda}{r^2} [x, y, 0]$$

$$(20) \quad = -\frac{4G\lambda}{r} \hat{\mathbf{r}}$$

where $\hat{\mathbf{r}}$ is a radial unit vector in the xy plane. This can be compared with the electric field due to a line of charge with charge density λ :

$$(21) \quad \mathbf{E} = \frac{\lambda}{2\pi\epsilon_0 r} \hat{\mathbf{r}}$$

The difference in sign is because like charges repel, whereas all masses attract.

For the gravitomagnetic acceleration, we have from 9

$$(22) \quad F_{kj} = \partial_k h_{tj} - \partial_j h_{tk}$$

$$(23) \quad = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \partial_x h_{tz} \\ 0 & 0 & \partial_y h_{tz} \\ -\partial_x h_{tz} & -\partial_y h_{tz} & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$(24) \quad = \frac{8G\lambda V}{r^2} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & x \\ 0 & 0 & y \\ -x & -y & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

The acceleration is

$$(25) \quad \eta^{ik} F_{kj} v^j = \frac{8G\lambda V}{r^2} [xv^z, yv^z, -(xv^x + yv^y)]$$

$$(26) \quad = -\frac{8G\lambda V}{r} \mathbf{v} \times \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$$

where

$$(27) \quad \hat{\theta} = \frac{1}{r} [-y, x, 0]$$

is the unit vector perpendicular to $\hat{\mathbf{r}}$ in the xy plane. Thus

$$(28) \quad \mathbf{v} \times \hat{\theta} = \begin{vmatrix} \hat{\mathbf{x}} & \hat{\mathbf{y}} & \hat{\mathbf{z}} \\ v^x & v^y & v^z \\ -y & x & 0 \end{vmatrix}$$

$$(29) \quad = -[xv^z, yv^z, -(xv^x + yv^y)]$$

From magnetostatics, the magnetic field due to an infinite wire can be found from Ampère's law. By symmetry, the magnetic field is circumferential so if the wire is carrying a current I

$$(30) \quad 2\pi r B = \mu_0 I$$

$$(31) \quad \mathbf{B} = \frac{\mu_0 I}{2\pi r} \hat{\theta}$$

From the Lorentz force law, the force on a test charge q moving with velocity \mathbf{v} is

$$(32) \quad \mathbf{F} = q\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B}$$

$$(33) \quad = \frac{\mu_0 I q}{2\pi r} \mathbf{v} \times \hat{\theta}$$

which has the same form as 26 with $I = \lambda V$ and the difference in sign for the same reason as above.

PINGBACKS

Pingback: Gravitoelectric and gravitomagnetic acceleration for parallel plates