# WAVE FUNCTION AS A PROBABILITY

Link to: physicspages home page.

To leave a comment or report an error, please use the auxiliary blog and include the title or URL of this post in your comment.

Post date: 1 Jan 2021.

The properties of a quantum system are contained in its wave function which, in the non-relativistic theory, is given by the solution of the Schrödinger equation. However, the wave function is a *complex* function, and any measurable quantity must be given by *real* numbers, so what is the relation of the wave function to something measurable?

The *statistical interpretation* of the wave function postulates that the amplitude of the wave function at a given point is a measure of the probability of finding the particle at that point. In the physics of ordinary waves, such as water waves or sound waves, the intensity of the wave is usually defined as the square of the amplitude. In these 'ordinary' waves, though, the amplitude is a real function, so we can just square it to get the intensity.

In quantum mechanics, the proposal (due to Max Born) is that we take the complex wave function as a kind of amplitude and then take its modulus squared to get the 'intensity' which is then interpreted as a probability density of finding the particle at a given point. For a wave function $\Psi(x,t)$ (in one dimension), the probability density is proposed to be

$$|\Psi(x,t)|^2 = \Psi^*(x,t)\Psi(x,t) \tag{1}$$

A probability density is similar to an ordinary probability, except it applies to a continuous variable rather than a discrete one. When we roll a die, the probability of getting any of the six numbers is 1/6. Since there are six possible outcomes, the total probability of getting any one of these six values is $6 \times (1/6) = 1$. This is a requirement on any set of probabilities: if we add up the probabilities for all possible outcomes, we must always get 1, which is just another way of saying that if we allow an event to happen, we have to get one of the possible outcomes.

For a continuous variable, however, this simple approach doesn't seem to work. Suppose we are told that a computer will generate a random number between 0 and 1, and that all numbers within this range are equally probable (we are assuming that the computer is capable of generating *any* value between 0 and 1, so the number of decimal places it can print out is unlimited). What is the probability that the computer will generate 0.72731723934? If we try to assign a non-zero probability to each real number between 0 and

WAVE FUNCTION AS A PROBABILITY                    2

1, then since there are an infinite number of real numbers within that range, when we try to add up the probabilities for all these numbers, we will get infinity. Clearly something is wrong.

The concept of a probability density solves this problem. A function such as $|\Psi(x)|^2$ specifies the probability per unit length at the location $x$ that the value $x$ will be generated. If this confuses you, think of it like an ordinary density. If you have a block of a solid in which the density varies from place to place, we can define a function $\rho(r)$ that gives the density at each point $r$ within the solid. Clearly it doesn't make sense to ask someone to measure the density at a geometric point, since a point has zero volume, so the amount of material within a geometric point is zero. But what you can do is measure the mass of a little volume around the point $r$ and calculate an approximation to $\rho(r)$ by dividing this mass by the little volume. If you keep doing this by reducing the volume, then in the (mathematical) limit, you will get a value for $\rho(r)$ that is exact.

It's the same idea with a probability density. We start out by measuring (or calculating) the probability that a number within a small interval around $x$ will be generated and divide this value by the length of the interval. By choosing successively smaller intervals, we will get a better approximation for the probability density until ultimately, in the limit, we will get an exact value for $|\Psi(x)|^2$.

If we define the probability density in this way, there is now a way we can add up all the probabilities and get a finite value. Since the density is the probability per unit length that a number will be generated, then the actual probability that a number in the interval $[x, x+\Delta x]$ will be generated is $|\Psi(x)|^2 \Delta x$ (since the length of the interval is $\Delta x$). An approximation to the total probability can then be found by adding up terms like this for all the little intervals between 0 and 1. In the limit, we let $\Delta x \to 0$ and replace the sum with an integral, so we get the condition

$$\int_0^1 |\Psi(x)|^2 dx = 1 \tag{2}$$

This is the *normalization* condition on a probability density: the integral of the density over the range for which it is defined must sum to 1, since whatever number is generated must come from that interval. If the density is defined over a different set of events (such as a different interval from which the numbers are chosen), then the limits on the integral are adjusted, but the overall normalization condition remains the same.

In quantum mechanics, we are interpreting $|\Psi(x,t)|^2$ as the probability density for finding the particle at position $x$ at time $t$. Since at every instant in time, the particle has to be *somewhere*, if we integrate this function over

all possible values of $x$, the result must be 1, and it must *remain* 1 for all time, since the particle always has to be somewhere.

This has an immediate consequence for solving the Schrödinger equation, since any solution that is not normalizable can immediately be rejected. Notice that this is a case where a requirement of the physics (the statement that the particle must be found somewhere) leads to a restriction on the mathematics (the wave function must be normalizable). The physics here is an assumption of the theory; the mathematics is a way of expressing this assumption in a precise form.

## REFERENCES

(1) Griffiths, David J. (2005), *Introduction to Quantum Mechanics*, 2nd Edition; Pearson Education, Chapter 1.
(2) Landau, L.D. & Lifshitz, E.M. (1977), *Quantum Mechanics (Non-relativistic Theory)*, 3rd Edition, Butterworth-Heineman, Chapters I and II.
(3) Shankar, R. (1994), *Principles of Quantum Mechanics*, Plenum Press, Chapter 4.
(4) Messiah, Albert (1958), *Quantum Mechanics*, Vol. I, John Wiley, §II.2.

## PINGBACKS

Pingback: Free particle as Gaussian wave packet
Pingback: Born's conditions on the wave function
Pingback: Infinite square well
Pingback: Delta-function well - scattering
Pingback: Probability current
Pingback: Uncertainty principle
Pingback: Wave function with arbitrary initial state
Pingback: Free particle