

GRAVITOELECTRIC AND GRAVITOMAGNETIC ACCELERATION FOR A MOVING WIRE

Link to: [physicspages home page](#).

To leave a comment or report an error, please use the [auxiliary blog](#).

Post date: 26 Jan 2023.

Here's an example of calculating perturbations to the metric in a somewhat artificial but nevertheless instructive case. Suppose we have a thin wire with energy (mass) density λ per unit length, and that it's moving at a speed $V \ll 1$ along the z axis in the $+z$ direction. To calculate the perturbations to the metric, we use the formula

$$h_{jm} = 2G \int \frac{2T_{jm} - \eta_{jm}T}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|} d^3\mathbf{r}' \quad (1)$$

We can regard the wire as a perfect fluid with an internal pressure P_0 that is much less than its energy density λ . In that case

$$2T_{tt} - \eta_{tt}T \approx \rho_0 \quad (2)$$

$$2T_{tj} - \eta_{tj}T \approx -2\rho_0 u_j \quad (3)$$

The spatial components of the four velocity are

$$u_j = \frac{v_j}{\sqrt{1 - v^2}} \quad (4)$$

so to first order in the wire's velocity

$$u_j = [0, 0, V] \quad (5)$$

and

$$2T_{tz} - \eta_{tz}T \approx -2\rho_0 V \quad (6)$$

$$2T_{tx} - \eta_{tx}T = 2T_{ty} - \eta_{ty}T = 0 \quad (7)$$

In this one-dimensional example, we replace ρ_0 by the linear density λ and the integral over volume to an integral over the length of the wire. Going back to 1, we have

$$h_{tt} = 2G\lambda \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dz}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2}} \quad (8)$$

$$h_{ti} = \begin{cases} -2Vh_{tt} & i = z \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

This integral is infinite $\left(\int \frac{dz}{\sqrt{x^2+y^2+z^2}} = \ln \left(z + \sqrt{x^2+y^2+z^2} \right) \right)$ so we can't evaluate it directly. However, to calculate the gravitoelectric and gravitomagnetic densities, we need derivatives of these integrals. [It has to be noted in passing that since h_{tt} is infinite, it hardly qualifies as a 'small' perturbation, but we'll let that pass for now.]

$$\partial_x h_{tt} = -2G\lambda x \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dz}{(x^2+y^2+z^2)^{3/2}} \quad (10)$$

$$= -2G\lambda \frac{x}{x^2+y^2} \frac{z}{\sqrt{x^2+y^2+z^2}} \Big|_{-\infty}^{\infty} \quad (11)$$

$$= -4G\lambda \frac{x}{x^2+y^2} \quad (12)$$

$$\partial_y h_{tt} = -4G\lambda \frac{y}{x^2+y^2} \quad (13)$$

The gravitoelectric potential is defined by

$$-\partial_k \Phi_G = \frac{1}{2} \partial_k h_{tt} \quad (14)$$

so we get from 10 and 13

$$h_{tt} = -2G\lambda \ln(x^2+y^2) - 2K \quad (15)$$

$$\Phi_G = 2G\lambda \ln(x^2+y^2) + K \quad (16)$$

where K is a constant of integration.

[I'm still bothered by this result, since from the integral above, it would seem that h_{tt} should be infinite for *all* values of x and y . Clearly there's something dodgy in juggling the infinities somewhere, but I'm not sure where.]

Defining the perpendicular distance from the wire as

$$r \equiv \sqrt{x^2+y^2} \quad (17)$$

we get

$$\Phi_G = 4G\lambda \ln r + K \quad (18)$$

The gravitoelectric acceleration is

$$-\eta^{ik}\partial_k\Phi_G = -\frac{4G\lambda}{r^2}[x, y, 0] \quad (19)$$

$$= -\frac{4G\lambda}{r}\hat{\mathbf{r}} \quad (20)$$

where $\hat{\mathbf{r}}$ is a radial unit vector in the xy plane. This can be compared with the electric field due to a line of charge with charge density λ :

$$\mathbf{E} = \frac{\lambda}{2\pi\epsilon_0 r}\hat{\mathbf{r}} \quad (21)$$

The difference in sign is because like charges repel, whereas all masses attract.

For the gravitomagnetic acceleration, we have from 9

$$F_{kj} = \partial_k h_{tj} - \partial_j h_{tk} \quad (22)$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \partial_x h_{tz} \\ 0 & 0 & \partial_y h_{tz} \\ -\partial_x h_{tz} & -\partial_y h_{tz} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (23)$$

$$= \frac{8G\lambda V}{r^2} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & x \\ 0 & 0 & y \\ -x & -y & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (24)$$

The acceleration is

$$\eta^{ik}F_{kj}v^j = \frac{8G\lambda V}{r^2}[xv^z, yv^z, -(xv^x + yv^y)] \quad (25)$$

$$= -\frac{8G\lambda V}{r}\mathbf{v} \times \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \quad (26)$$

where

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} = \frac{1}{r}[-y, x, 0] \quad (27)$$

is the unit vector perpendicular to $\hat{\mathbf{r}}$ in the xy plane. Thus

$$\mathbf{v} \times \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} = \begin{vmatrix} \hat{\mathbf{x}} & \hat{\mathbf{y}} & \hat{\mathbf{z}} \\ v^x & v^y & v^z \\ -y & x & 0 \end{vmatrix} \quad (28)$$

$$= -[xv^z, yv^z, -(xv^x + yv^y)] \quad (29)$$

GRAVITOELECTRIC AND GRAVITOMAGNETIC ACCELERATION FOR A MOVING WIRE

From magnetostatics, the magnetic field due to an infinite wire can be found from Ampère's law. By symmetry, the magnetic field is circumferential so if the wire is carrying a current I

$$2\pi r B = \mu_0 I \quad (30)$$

$$\mathbf{B} = \frac{\mu_0 I}{2\pi r} \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \quad (31)$$

From the Lorentz force law, the force on a test charge q moving with velocity \mathbf{v} is

$$\mathbf{F} = q\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B} \quad (32)$$

$$= \frac{\mu_0 I q}{2\pi r} \mathbf{v} \times \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \quad (33)$$

which has the same form as 26 with $I = \lambda V$ and the difference in sign for the same reason as above.

PINGBACKS

Pingback: Gravitoelectric and gravitomagnetic acceleration for parallel plates