

NO CONTRACTION IN DIRECTIONS PERPENDICULAR TO THE MOTION

Link to: [physicspages home page](#).

To leave a comment or report an error, please use the auxiliary blog and include the title or URL of this post in your comment.

Post date: 14 Jan 2021.

In special relativity, the length of a moving object in the direction of relative motion is contracted by the factor $\gamma = 1/\sqrt{1 - v^2/c^2}$. What about lengths perpendicular to the relative motion? It turns out that these lengths are unaffected by the relative motion.

I've never seen a mathematical derivation of this result. Most books just state it as though it were obvious, but there is a thought experiment originally due to E. F. Taylor and J. A. Wheeler in 1966. Suppose we have our usual moving train, with an observer T on the train and another observer G on the ground. Next to the train is a wall, and before the train arrives, G paints a horizontal red line on the wall at a distance of 1 m above the ground, as measured by G . Then, as the train goes by, T leans out the window and paints a blue line at a distance of 1 m above the ground, as measured by T . After the train passes by, there are two lines painted on the wall. Which is higher?

If there were some sort of contraction effect for distances perpendicular to the motion, then G would say that T should perceive his 1 m above the ground to be less than G 's 1 m, so the blue line should be below the red one. However, to T , it is G that is moving so it is G that should perceive *his* 1 m to be less than T 's 1 m, so the red line should be lower. As these two results are contradictory, the only rational conclusion is that both T and G measure 1 m to be exactly the same distance, and the blue and red lines are at exactly the same height.

This argument might bother you, since it seems similar to arguments that time dilation and length contraction (in the direction of motion) are contradictory. If T 's clock moves relative to G 's, then T 's clock must run slow, but to T , it is G 's clock that is moving and so should be running slow. Similarly, for length contraction, each observer sees the other's lengths as being contracted. However, in both of these situations, the apparent paradox is resolved by taking into account the discrepancy in simultaneity between the two observers, and in both these cases, the disagreements relate to events that occur at specific coordinates in both frames and that leave no lasting effect.

In the case of painting lines on the wall, the two lines remain on the wall after the experiment is finished and, if there *were* a contraction for either observer, the two lines would have to be at different heights on the wall. No jiggery-pokery with simultaneity can change that. The fact that assuming a contraction in the perpendicular direction leads to a contradiction shows that lengths perpendicular to the motion are unchanged.

Now suppose that instead of a wall the two observers are on either side of a pane of glass. Observer G places a metre stick vertically against the glass (so that the stick is oriented perpendicular to the direction of relative motion). As we just saw, both observers measure the length of the stick to be the same. Suppose G measures the locations of the ends of the stick at his time $t = 0$. Thus we have two events: event A is when G measures the location of the bottom of the stick which occurs at $(t_1, x_1, y_1) = (0, 0, 0)$ and event B is when G measures the top of the stick, which occurs at $(t_2, x_2, y_2) = (0, 0, 1)$. Since T measures the same length for the stick T 's origin coincides with G 's origin (by assumption) and both events A and B occur at $(t, x) = (0, 0)$, both observers agree that A and B are simultaneous. That is, both observers agree that the coordinates of A are $(t, x, y) = (0, 0, 0)$ and the coordinates of B are $(t, x, y) = (0, 0, 1)$. In other words, any two events that both occur at $t = x = 0$ in one frame also occur at $t' = x' = 0$ in the other frame, and their spatial separations (which must be perpendicular to the direction of motion, since their x coordinates are the same) are measured to be the same in both frames.

PINGBACKS

Pingback: Invariance of spacetime intervals

Pingback: Lorentz transformations

Pingback: Time dilation and proper time