

## SCHWARZSCHILD METRIC - TIME COORDINATE

Link to: [physicspages home page](#).

To leave a comment or report an error, please use the auxiliary blog.

Post date: 29 Aug 2022.

The time component of the Schwarzschild metric does not correspond to proper time, even for an object at rest. This metric is, for a spherical mass  $M$ :

$$ds^2 = - \left(1 - \frac{2GM}{r}\right) dt^2 + \left(1 - \frac{2GM}{r}\right)^{-1} dr^2 + r^2 d\theta^2 + r^2 \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2 \quad (1)$$

For an object at rest, the invariant interval is given by the proper time. That is, we assume that the relation from special relativity applies in curved space-time as well:

$$ds^2 = -d\tau^2 \quad (2)$$

For the Schwarzschild metric, this means that for an object at rest,  $dr = d\theta = d\phi = 0$ , and

$$\Delta\tau = \int \sqrt{-ds^2} \quad (3)$$

$$= \int \left(1 - \frac{2GM}{r}\right)^{1/2} dt \quad (4)$$

$$= \left(1 - \frac{2GM}{r}\right)^{1/2} \Delta t \quad (5)$$

The proper time interval for an object at rest is thus less than  $\Delta t$  unless we are at an infinite distance from the mass. Note also that proper time appears to stop (in the sense that  $\Delta\tau = 0$ ) when  $r = 2GM$ , which is the Schwarzschild radius.

The difference between  $t$  and  $\tau$  is difficult to visualize, and I'm not certain I really understand it. In his book, Moore describes an experimental setup in which a clock measuring  $\tau$  and a 't-meter' measuring  $t$  are placed at a fixed point (fixed value of  $r$ ). The clock measures proper time and we can calculate the reading on the t-meter from it by using the above equation. However, we can also measure  $t$  by placing another clock at infinity, where  $\Delta t$  and  $\Delta\tau$  are equal. If we send a light signal once a second (as measured

at infinity) from this clock towards the t-meter at  $r$ , then (ignoring the fact that if the distant clock is at infinity, it will take an infinite time to reach the t-meter) every time the t-meter receives a signal, it advances by 1 second. Since the light signal is travelling through curved space-time, its path isn't the same as a light signal travelling through flat space-time. That is, if we removed the mass, thus making space-time flat, then the clock at  $r$  and the t-meter at  $r$  would agree. With the mass present, however, the time required by the light to reach the t-meter is increased since the light is following a curved path, so that the t-meter always says that a larger amount of time  $\Delta t$  has elapsed than the interval  $\Delta\tau$  measured by the proper time clock attached to the object.

Another way of looking at it is that if we release two light pulses separated by interval  $\Delta t_A$  at  $r = r_A$  and detect them at some other point where  $r = r_B$ , the interval  $\Delta t_B$  measured by the detector will be the same as that at the source:  $\Delta t_B = \Delta t_A$ , although the *proper* time intervals that elapse at the source and detector will *not* be equal:  $\Delta\tau_B \neq \Delta\tau_A$ . It's mind-bending stuff and I'm not sure how much benefit can be obtained by trying to visualize what's going on intuitively; probably not much.

Here's another example of a metric (fictitious as far as I know):

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + dr^2 + R^2 \sinh^2 \frac{r}{R} (d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2) \quad (6)$$

For an object at rest here, the proper time and  $t$  coordinate always agree, since

$$ds^2 = -d\tau^2 = -dt^2 \quad (7)$$

This metric describes a spherically symmetric space-time, since if we fix  $r = r_0$  (and  $t = t_0$ ) then  $dr = dt = 0$  and

$$ds^2 = R^2 \sinh^2 \frac{r_0}{R} (d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2) \quad (8)$$

That is, the metric has the form

$$ds^2 = K^2 d\theta^2 + K^2 \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2 \quad (9)$$

for a constant  $K$ , which is the same as that of spherical coordinates for constant radius.

The meaning of the  $r$  coordinate can be found by choosing a constant  $r = r_0$  and  $t = t_0$  at a fixed value of  $\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}$ . Then

$$ds = R \sinh \frac{r_0}{R} d\phi \quad (10)$$

If we now integrate this through the range  $0 \leq \phi \leq 2\pi$  we get the circumference  $C$  of the equatorial circle:

$$C = 2\pi R \sinh \frac{r_0}{R} \quad (11)$$

The Taylor expansion of  $\sinh x$  is

$$\sinh x = x + \frac{x^3}{3!} + \frac{x^5}{5!} + \dots \quad (12)$$

so for  $x > 0$ ,  $\sinh x > x$ . Therefore

$$C > 2\pi R \frac{r_0}{R} = 2\pi r_0 \quad (13)$$

Since a diagonal metric tensor means that the basis vectors are orthogonal, the fact that this metric is diagonal means the coordinate system is orthogonal.

#### PINGBACKS

- Pingback: Schwarzschild metric - gravitational red shift
- Pingback: Schwarzschild metric - four-momentum of a photon
- Pingback: Particle orbits - conserved quantities
- Pingback: Circular orbits - Kepler's law
- Pingback: Particle falling towards a mass - two types of velocity
- Pingback: Circular orbits - 3 measurements of the period
- Pingback: Photon orbits - speed measured at two places
- Pingback: Time and space interchange as we cross the event horizon
- Pingback: Painlevé-Gullstrand (global rain) coordinates
- Pingback: Schwarzschild metric - acceleration