GRAPHITE AND DIAMOND

Two of the main forms of elemental carbon are graphite and diamond. We can get some insight into the stability of these two forms by considering the Gibbs free energy. From the thermodynamic potential for the Gibbs free energy

\[ dG = -S \, dT + V \, dP + \mu \, dN \]  \tag{1} 

we have

\[ \left( \frac{\partial G}{\partial P} \right)_{T,N} = V \]  \tag{2} 

Using data given in Schroeder’s book, the molar volume of graphite is \( V_g = 5.31 \times 10^{-6} \, \text{m}^3 \) and of diamond is \( V_d = 3.42 \times 10^{-6} \, \text{m}^3 \). If we ignore compression effects over a wide range of pressures, we can integrate \( \frac{\partial G}{\partial P} \) to get a linear relationship between \( G \) and \( P \). If we take the Gibbs energy of graphite to be \( G_g = 0 \) at \( T = 298 \, \text{K} \) and \( P = 10^5 \, \text{Pa} \) then for diamond under the same conditions we have \( G_d = 2900 \, \text{J} \), so the relations are (for one mole of carbon atoms):

\[ G_g = V_g P = 5.31 \times 10^{-6} P \]  \tag{3} 

\[ G_d = V_d P = 2900 + 3.42 \times 10^{-6} P \]  \tag{4} 

As the diamond line starts above the graphite line but has a smaller slope, it will intersect the graphite line at a pressure \( P_{gd} \) determined by

\[ 5.31 \times 10^{-6} P_{gd} = 2900 + 3.42 \times 10^{-6} P_{gd} \]  \tag{5} 

\[ P_{gd} = 1.534 \times 10^9 \, \text{Pa} \]  \tag{6} 

\[ = 15.34 \, \text{kbar} \]  \tag{7} 

using the conversion \( 10^5 \, \text{Pa} = 1 \, \text{bar} \).

If we include the effect of compressibility, things get a bit more complicated. The isothermal compressibility of graphite (given by Schroeder) is about
\[ \kappa_T = -\frac{1}{V} \left( \frac{\partial V}{\partial P} \right)_{T,N} = 3 \times 10^{-6} \text{ bar}^{-1} = 3 \times 10^{-11} \text{ Pa}^{-1} \] (8)

Assuming \( \kappa_T \) is constant over the range of pressures we’re considering, we can integrate this to get

\[
\frac{dV}{V} = -\kappa_T dP
\] (9)

\[
\ln \frac{V}{V_0} = \kappa_T (P_0 - P)
\] (10)

where \( V_0 \) and \( P_0 \) are some reference volume and pressure, which we can take as the molar volume and a pressure of 1 bar.

Thus the volume is a function of the pressure:

\[ V = V_0 e^{\kappa_T P_0} e^{-\kappa_T P} \] (11)

For graphite, this gives

\[
V_g = \left( 5.31 \times 10^{-6} \right) e^{3 \times 10^{-6}} e^{-\kappa_T P} \] (12)

\[
\approx 5.31 \times 10^{-6} e^{-3 \times 10^{-11} P} \] (13)

since \( e^{3 \times 10^{-6}} \approx 1 \). The Gibbs energy for graphite can then be found from (2) as

\[
G_g = -\frac{5.31 \times 10^{-6}}{3 \times 10^{-11}} e^{-3 \times 10^{-11} P} + G_0
\] (14)

\[
= -1.77 \times 10^5 e^{-3 \times 10^{-11} P} + G_0
\] (15)

The integration constant \( G_0 \) can be found from the condition that \( G_g (10^5 \text{ Pa}) = 0 \), so \( G_0 = 1.77 \times 10^5 \text{ J} \) and we get

\[
G_g = 1.77 \times 10^5 \left( 1 - e^{-3 \times 10^{-11} P} \right)
\] (16)

As \( \kappa_T \) for diamond is about ten times smaller than \( \kappa_T \) for graphite, we can approximate \( G_d \) by the same straight line that we used above. The pressure at which diamond becomes more stable than graphite is found by equating \( G_g \) and \( G_d \) as before, although this time we get a transcendental equation (with \( P \) found both in an exponent and as a linear factor), so we can solve the equation numerically using Maple:
The Gibbs energies look like this, with the blue curve being graphite and the red curve being diamond:

\[ 1.77 \times 10^5 \left(1 - e^{-3 \times 10^{-11} P_{gd}}\right) = 2900 + 3.42 \times 10^{-6} P_{gd} \]  
(17)

\[ P_{gd} = 1.647 \times 10^9 \text{ Pa} \]  
(18)

\[ = 16.47 \text{ kbar} \]  
(19)

The blue curve is actually slightly concave downwards, as can be seen by plotting it for higher pressures, but in the pressure range here, it’s approximately linear. The red curve is actually a straight line.

There are a couple of rather odd features about the graphite-diamond transition. First, from the Gibbs energy plot, we see that graphite is actually the more stable form at atmospheric pressure and temperature, so that should mean that diamonds will spontaneously degrade into graphite over time. Fortunately for owners of diamonds, this process takes a very long time (although it should also be noted that diamonds, being carbon, do burn, so don’t throw your wedding ring into a fire!).

Another odd fact is that because of its more ordered crystal structure, diamond has a lower entropy than graphite (for 1 mole, the values are \( S_d = 2.38 \text{ J K}^{-1} \) and \( S_g = 5.74 \text{ J K}^{-1} \)). Schroeder asks us to explain how
the conversion of graphite to diamond at high pressure can result in an overall increase of the environment’s entropy. It’s not clear to me why this should be, though perhaps because $G_d < G_g$ at high pressure, the energy transferred away from the graphite into the environment causes an overall increase in entropy. Comments welcome.

Finally, geochemists use a bizarre unit of volume equal to kJ kbar$^{-1}$. The conversion to the more standard cubic metre is given by

$$1 \text{ kJ kbar}^{-1} = 1 \text{ J bar}^{-1} = 10^{-5} \text{ J Pa}^{-1} = 10^{-5} \text{ m}^3$$

(20)
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