

## VECTOR SPACES: SPAN, LINEAR INDEPENDENCE AND BASIS

Link to: physicspages home page.

To leave a comment or report an error, please use the auxiliary blog.

References: edX online course MIT 8.05.1x Week 3.

Sheldon Axler (2015), *Linear Algebra Done Right*, 3rd edition, Springer. Chapter 2.

Here, we investigate the ideas of the span of a vector space and see how this leads to the idea of linear independence of a set of vectors. I'll summarize the main definitions and results here for future use; a more complete explanation together with some examples is given in Axler's book, Chapter 2.

**Span of a list of vectors.** A *list* of vectors is just a subset of the vectors in a vector space, with the condition that the number of vectors in the subset is finite. The set of all linear combinations of the vectors  $(v_1, \dots, v_m)$  in a list is called the *span* of that list. Since a general linear combination has the form

$$(1) \quad v = \sum_{i=1}^m a_i v_i$$

where  $a_i \in \mathbb{F}$  (recall that the field  $\mathbb{F}$  is always taken to be either the real numbers  $\mathbb{R}$  or the complex numbers  $\mathbb{C}$ ), the span of a list itself forms a vector space which is a subspace of the original vector space. One result we can show is

**Theorem 1.** *The span of a list of vectors in a vector space  $V$  is the smallest subspace of  $V$  containing all the vectors in the list.*

*Proof.* Let the list be  $L \equiv (v_1, \dots, v_m)$ . Then  $S \equiv \text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_m)$  is a subspace since it contains the zero vector if all  $a_i$ s are zero in 1, and since it contains all linear combinations of the list, it is closed under addition and scalar multiplication.

The span  $S$  contains all  $v_j \in L$  (just set  $a_j = \delta_{ij}$  in 1). Now if we look at a subspace of  $V$  that contains all the  $v_j$ s, it must also contain every vector in the span  $S$ , since a subspace must be closed under addition and scalar multiplication. Thus  $S$  is the smallest subspace of  $V$  that contains all the vectors in  $L$ .  $\square$

If  $S \equiv \text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_m) = V$ , that is, the span of a list is the same as the original vector space, then we say that  $(v_1, \dots, v_m)$  spans  $V$ . This leads to the definition that a vector space is called *finite-dimensional* if it is spanned by some list of vectors. (Remember that all lists are finite in length!) A vector space that is not finite-dimensional is called (not surprisingly) *infinite-dimensional*.

**Linear independence.** Suppose a list  $(v_1, \dots, v_m) \in V$  and  $v$  is a vector such that  $v \in \text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_m)$ . This means that  $v$  is a linear combination of  $(v_1, \dots, v_m)$ , so that 1 is true. However, using only the definitions above, there is no guarantee that there is only one choice for the scalars  $a_i$  that satisfies 1. We might also have, for example

$$(2) \quad v = \sum_{i=1}^m c_i v_i$$

where  $c_i \neq a_i$ . This means that we can write the zero vector as

$$(3) \quad 0 = \sum_{i=1}^m (a_i - c_i) v_i$$

Now, if the *only* way we can satisfy this equation is to require that  $a_i = c_i$  for all  $i$ , then we say that the list  $(v_1, \dots, v_m)$  is *linearly independent*. (For completeness, the empty list (containing no vectors) is also declared to be linearly independent.) By reversing the above argument, we see that if the list  $(v_1, \dots, v_m)$  is linearly independent, then there is only one set of scalars  $a_i$  such that 1 is satisfied. In other words, any vector  $v \in \text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_m)$  has only one representation as a linear combination of the vectors in the list.

A list that is not linearly independent is, again not surprisingly, defined to be *linearly dependent*. This leads to the linear dependence lemma:

**Lemma 2.** *Suppose  $(v_1, \dots, v_m)$  is a linearly dependent list in  $V$ . Then there exists some  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$  such that*

(a)  $v_j \in \text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_{j-1})$ ;

(b) *if  $v_j$  is removed from the list  $(v_1, \dots, v_m)$ , the span of the remaining list, containing  $m - 1$  vectors, equals the span of the original list.*

*Proof.* Because  $(v_1, \dots, v_m)$  is linearly dependent, we can write

$$(4) \quad \sum_{i=1}^m a_i v_i = 0$$

where not all of the  $a_i$ s are zero. Suppose  $j$  is the largest index where  $a_j \neq 0$ . Then we can divide through by  $a_j$  to get

$$(5) \quad v_j = -\frac{1}{a_j} \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} a_i v_i$$

Thus  $v_j$  is a linear combination of other vectors in the list, which proves part (a). Part (b) follows from the fact that we can represent any vector  $u \in \text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_m)$  as

$$(6) \quad u = \sum_{i=1}^m a_i v_i$$

We can replace  $v_j$  in this sum by 5, so  $u$  can be written as a linear combination of all the vectors in the list  $(v_1, \dots, v_m)$  except for  $v_j$ . Thus (b) is true.  $\square$

We can use this lemma to prove the main result about linearly independent lists:

**Theorem 3.** *In a finite-dimensional vector space  $V$ , the length of every linearly independent list is less than or equal to the length of every list that spans  $V$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose the list  $A \equiv (u_1, \dots, u_m)$  is linearly independent in  $V$ , and suppose another list  $B \equiv (w_1, \dots, w_n)$  spans  $V$ . We want to prove that  $m \leq n$ .

Since  $B$  already spans  $V$ , if we add any other vector from  $V$  to the list  $B$ , we will get a linearly dependent list, since this newly added vector can, by the definition of a span, be expressed a linear combination of the vectors in  $B$ . In particular, if we add  $u_1$  from the list  $A$  to  $B$ , then the list  $(u_1, w_1, \dots, w_n)$  is linearly dependent. By the linear independence lemma above, we can therefore remove one of the  $w_i$ s from  $B$  so that the remaining list still spans  $V$ , and contains  $n$  vectors. For the sake of argument, let's say we remove  $w_n$  (we can always order the  $w_i$ s in the list so that the element we remove is at the end). Then we're left with the revised list  $B_1 = (u_1, w_1, \dots, w_{n-1})$ .

We can repeat this process  $m$  times, each time adding the next element  $u_i$  from list  $A$  and removing the last  $w_i$ . Because of the linear dependence lemma, we know that there must always be a  $w_i$  that can be removed each time we add a  $u_i$ , so there must be at least as many  $w_i$ s as  $u_i$ s. In other words,  $m \leq n$  which is what we wanted to prove.  $\square$

This theorem can be used to show easily that any list of more than  $n$  vectors in  $n$ -dimensional space cannot be linearly independent, since we know that we can span  $n$ -dimensional space with  $n$  vectors (for example, the 3 coordinate axes in 3-d space). Conversely, since we can find a list

of  $n$  vectors in  $n$ -dimensional space that is linearly independent, any list of fewer than  $n$  vectors cannot span  $n$ -dimensional space.

**Basis of a finite-dimensional vector space.** A basis of a finite-dimensional vector space is defined to be a list that is both linearly independent and spans the space. The *dimension* of the vector space is defined to be the length of a basis list. For example, in 3-d space, the list  $\{(1,0,0), (0,1,0), (0,0,1)\}$  is a basis, and since the length is 3, the dimension of the vector space is also 3. Any proper subset (that is, a subset with fewer than 3 members) of this basis is also linearly independent, but it does not span the space so is not a basis. For example, the list  $\{(1,0,0), (0,1,0)\}$  is linearly independent, but spans only the  $xy$  plane.

A couple of examples of linear independence/dependence can be found here.

#### PINGBACKS

Pingback: Linear operators: null space, range, injectivity and surjectivity

Pingback: Matrix representation of linear operators; matrix multiplication

Pingback: Matrix representation of linear operators: change of basis

Pingback: Vector spaces & linear independence - some examples