

## DECOUPLING THE TWO-PARTICLE HAMILTONIAN

Link to: physicspages home page.

To leave a comment or report an error, please use the auxiliary blog.

Shankar, R. (1994), *Principles of Quantum Mechanics*, Plenum Press. Chapter 10, Exercise 10.1.3.

Shankar shows that, for a two-particle system, the state vector  $|\psi\rangle$  is an element of the direct product space  $\mathbb{V}_{1\otimes 2}$ . Its evolution in time is determined by the Schrödinger equation, as usual, so that

$$i\hbar|\dot{\psi}\rangle = H|\psi\rangle = \left[ \frac{P_1^2}{2m_1} + \frac{P_2^2}{2m_2} + V(X_1, X_2) \right] |\psi\rangle \quad (1)$$

The method by which this equation can be solved (if it *can* be solved, that is) depends on the form of the potential  $V$ . If the two particles interact only with some external potential, and not with each other, then  $V$  is composed of a sum of terms, each of which depends only on  $X_1$  or  $X_2$ , but not on both. In such cases, we can split  $H$  into two parts, one of which ( $H_1$ ) depends only on operators pertaining to particle 1 and the other ( $H_2$ ) on operators pertaining to particle 2. If the eigenvalues (allowed energies) of particle  $i$  are given by  $E_i$ , then the stationary states are direct products of the corresponding single particle eigenstates. That is, in general

$$H|E\rangle = (H_1 + H_2)|E_1\rangle \otimes |E_2\rangle = (E_1 + E_2)|E_1\rangle \otimes |E_2\rangle = E|E\rangle \quad (2)$$

Thus the two-particle state  $|E\rangle = |E_1\rangle \otimes |E_2\rangle$ . Since a stationary state  $|E_i\rangle$  evolves in time according to

$$|\psi_i(t)\rangle = |E_i\rangle e^{-iE_i t/\hbar} \quad (3)$$

the compound two-particle state evolves according to

$$|\psi(t)\rangle = e^{-iE_1 t/\hbar} |E_1\rangle \otimes e^{-iE_2 t/\hbar} |E_2\rangle \quad (4)$$

$$= e^{-i(E_1 + E_2)t/\hbar} |E\rangle \quad (5)$$

$$= e^{-iEt/\hbar} |E\rangle \quad (6)$$

In this case, the two particles are essentially independent of each other, and the compound state is just the product of the two separate one-particle states.

If  $H$  is not separable, which will occur if  $V$  contains terms involving both  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  in the same term, we cannot, in general, reduce the system to the product of two one-particle systems. There are a couple of instances, however, where such a reduction can be done.

The first instance is if the potential is a function of  $x_2 - x_1$  only, in other words, that the interaction between the particles depends only on the distance between them. Shankar shows that in this case we can transform the system to that of a reduced mass  $\mu = m_1 m_2 / (m_1 + m_2)$  and a centre of mass  $M = m_1 + m_2$ . We've already seen this problem solved by means of separation of variables. The result is that the state vector is the product of a vector for a free particle of mass  $M$  and of a vector of a particle with reduced mass  $\mu$  moving in the potential  $V$ .

Another case where we can decouple the Hamiltonian is in a system of harmonic oscillators. We've already seen this system solved for two masses in classical mechanics using diagonalization of the matrix describing the equations of motion. The classical Hamiltonian is

$$H = \frac{p_1^2}{2m} + \frac{p_2^2}{2m} + \frac{m\omega^2}{2} [x_1^2 + x_2^2 + (x_1 - x_2)^2] \quad (7)$$

The earlier solution involved introducing normal coordinates

$$x_I = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(x_1 + x_2) \quad (8)$$

$$x_{II} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(x_1 - x_2) \quad (9)$$

and corresponding momenta

$$p_I = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(p_1 + p_2) \quad (10)$$

$$p_{II} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(p_1 - p_2) \quad (11)$$

These normal coordinates are canonical as we can verify by calculating the Poisson brackets. For example

$$\{x_I, p_I\} = \sum_i \left( \frac{\partial x_I}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial p_I}{\partial p_i} - \frac{\partial x_I}{\partial p_i} \frac{\partial p_I}{\partial x_i} \right) \quad (12)$$

$$= 1 \quad (13)$$

$$\{x_I, x_{II}\} = \sum_i \left( \frac{\partial x_I}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial x_{II}}{\partial p_i} - \frac{\partial x_I}{\partial p_i} \frac{\partial x_{II}}{\partial x_i} \right) \quad (14)$$

$$= 0 \quad (15)$$

and so on, with the general result

$$\{x_i, p_j\} = \delta_{ij} \quad (16)$$

$$\{x_i, x_j\} = \{p_i, p_j\} = 0 \quad (17)$$

We can invert the transformation to get

$$x_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(x_I + x_{II}) \quad (18)$$

$$x_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(x_I - x_{II}) \quad (19)$$

and

$$p_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(p_I + p_{II}) \quad (20)$$

$$p_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(p_I - p_{II}) \quad (21)$$

Inserting these into 7 we get

$$H = \frac{1}{4m} \left[ (p_I + p_{II})^2 + (p_I - p_{II})^2 \right] + \quad (22)$$

$$\frac{m\omega^2}{4} \left[ (x_I + x_{II})^2 + (x_I - x_{II})^2 + 4x_{II}^2 \right] \quad (23)$$

$$= \frac{p_I^2}{2m} + \frac{p_{II}^2}{2m} + \frac{m\omega^2}{2} \left( x_I^2 + \frac{3}{2}x_{II}^2 \right) \quad (24)$$

We can now substitute the usual quantum mechanical operators to get the quantum Hamiltonian:

$$H = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} (P_I^2 + P_{II}^2) + \frac{m\omega^2}{2} \left( X_I^2 + \frac{3}{2}X_{II}^2 \right) \quad (25)$$

In the coordinate basis, this is

$$H = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_I^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_{II}^2} \right) + \frac{m\omega^2}{2} \left( x_I^2 + \frac{3}{2}x_{II}^2 \right) \quad (26)$$

The Hamiltonian is now decoupled and can be solved by separation of variables.

We could have arrived at this result by starting with 7 and promoting  $x_i$  and  $p_i$  to quantum operators directly, then made the substitution to normal coordinates. We would then start with

$$H = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_2^2} \right) + \frac{m\omega^2}{2} \left[ x_1^2 + x_2^2 + (x_1 - x_2)^2 \right] \quad (27)$$

The potential term on the right transforms the same way as before, so we get

$$\frac{m\omega^2}{2} \left[ x_1^2 + x_2^2 + (x_1 - x_2)^2 \right] \rightarrow \frac{m\omega^2}{2} \left( x_I^2 + \frac{3}{2}x_{II}^2 \right) \quad (28)$$

To transform the two derivatives, we need to use the chain rule a couple of times. To get the first derivatives:

$$\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_1} = \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_I} \frac{\partial x_I}{\partial x_1} + \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_{II}} \frac{\partial x_{II}}{\partial x_1} \quad (29)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_I} + \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_{II}} \right) \quad (30)$$

$$\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_2} = \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_I} \frac{\partial x_I}{\partial x_2} + \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_{II}} \frac{\partial x_{II}}{\partial x_2} \quad (31)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_I} - \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_{II}} \right) \quad (32)$$

Now the second derivatives:

$$\frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x_1^2} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_I} \left( \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_1} \right) \frac{\partial x_I}{\partial x_1} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{II}} \left( \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_1} \right) \frac{\partial x_{II}}{\partial x_1} \quad (33)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial x_I} \left( \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_I} + \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_{II}} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{II}} \left( \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_I} + \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_{II}} \right) \right] \quad (34)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x_I^2} + 2 \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x_I \partial x_{II}} + \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x_{II}^2} \right] \quad (35)$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x_2^2} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_I} \left( \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_2} \right) \frac{\partial x_I}{\partial x_2} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{II}} \left( \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_2} \right) \frac{\partial x_{II}}{\partial x_2} \quad (36)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial x_I} \left( \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_I} - \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_{II}} \right) - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{II}} \left( \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_I} - \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_{II}} \right) \right] \quad (37)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x_I^2} - 2 \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x_I \partial x_{II}} + \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x_{II}^2} \right] \quad (38)$$

Combining the two derivatives, we get

$$\frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x_1^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x_2^2} = \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x_I^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x_{II}^2} \quad (39)$$

Inserting this, together with 28, into 27 we get 26 again.