

## RADIAL FUNCTION FOR LARGE R

Link to: physicspages home page.

To leave a comment or report an error, please use the auxiliary blog.

Shankar, R. (1994), *Principles of Quantum Mechanics*, Plenum Press. Chapter 12, Section 12.6.

[If some equations are too small to read easily, use your browser's magnifying option (Ctrl + on Chrome, probably something similar on other browsers).]

In solving the Schrödinger equation for spherically symmetric potentials, we found that we could reduce the problem to the equation

$$\left[ -\frac{\hbar^2}{2\mu} \frac{d^2}{dr^2} + V(r) + \frac{l(l+1)\hbar^2}{2\mu r^2} \right] U_{El} = EU_{El} \quad (1)$$

where  $U_{El}(r)$  is related to the radial function by

$$R_{El}(r) = \frac{U_{El}(r)}{r} \quad (2)$$

We've looked at some properties of  $U_{El}$  (which Griffiths calls  $u$ ) for the hydrogen atom, but we can also try to extract some information about  $U_{El}$  in the more general case where we don't need to specify the potential  $V$  precisely. Here we'll examine what happens as  $r \rightarrow \infty$ .

By looking at 1, we can see that the centrifugal barrier term (the last term in the square brackets) disappears for large  $r$ , so the behaviour is determined by the nature of the potential  $V$ . We might think that, provided  $V \xrightarrow[r \rightarrow \infty]{} 0$ , we can just ignore the potential and solve the reduced equation

$$\frac{d^2 U_E}{dr^2} = -\frac{2\mu E}{\hbar^2} U_E \quad (3)$$

where we've dropped the subscript  $l$  since we're ignoring the centrifugal barrier, which is the only term in which  $l$  appears. In fact, this assumption proves to be faulty, in that the analysis is valid only if  $V \rightarrow \frac{1}{r^a}$  where  $a > 1$ , or in other words, if  $rV(r) \rightarrow 0$ . To see why, we need to consider two cases:  $E > 0$  (so that the particle can escape to infinity, since we're assuming  $V \leq 0$  everywhere, and thus that  $E$  can take on any positive value);  $E < 0$ , so that the particle is bound, and there are discrete energy levels. Shakar treats the  $E > 0$  case so we'll look at the  $E < 0$  case. In this case, 3 has the general solution

$$U_E = Ae^{-\kappa r} + Be^{\kappa r} \quad (4)$$

where

$$\kappa = \sqrt{-\frac{2\mu E}{\hbar^2}} = \sqrt{\frac{2\mu |E|}{\hbar^2}} \quad (5)$$

In the most general case, the constants  $A$  and  $B$  can be anything, subject to the usual constraint that the overall wave function is normalized. However, in order for this normalization to occur, we can't have the  $e^{\kappa r}$  term, since that term blows up as  $r \rightarrow \infty$ . As we've seen in the specific example of the hydrogen atom, when we express the radial function as a series in powers of  $r$ , the series must terminate after a finite number of terms in order to keep the wave function finite, and it is this that results in the quantized energy levels. Although a direct link between the series solution and the form 4 isn't obvious, the net effect is that, when the energy has one of the allowed discrete values, the term  $Be^{\kappa r}$  disappears from the asymptotic solution.

The form 4 is valid only under the restriction that  $rV(r) \rightarrow 0$  for large  $r$ . To see why, suppose we write

$$U_E = f(r) e^{\pm \kappa r} \quad (6)$$

for some function  $f$ . If 4 is valid, then  $f$  should tend to a constant for large  $r$ . We can plug 6 into 1 and ignore the centrifugal term since we're looking only at large  $r$ . This gives

$$\frac{d^2 U_E}{dr^2} - \frac{2\mu}{\hbar^2} V U_E - \kappa^2 U_E = 0 \quad (7)$$

Calculating the derivative, we have

$$\frac{dU_E}{dr} = (f' \pm \kappa f) e^{\pm \kappa r} \quad (8)$$

$$\frac{d^2 U_E}{dr^2} = (f'' \pm \kappa f' \pm \kappa f' + \kappa^2 f) e^{\pm \kappa r} \quad (9)$$

$$= (f'' \pm 2\kappa f' + \kappa^2 f) e^{\pm \kappa r} \quad (10)$$

Plugging this into 7 we get

$$f'' \pm 2\kappa f' - \frac{2\mu}{\hbar^2} V f = 0 \quad (11)$$

At this point, Shankar assumes that  $f$  is slowly varying for large  $r$ , which seems reasonable, so we can disregard the second derivative, to get

$$f' = \mp \frac{\mu}{\kappa \hbar^2} V f \quad (12)$$

or

$$\frac{df}{f} = \mp \frac{\mu}{\kappa \hbar^2} V(r) dr \quad (13)$$

If we integrate this from some constant lower value  $r_0$  up to an arbitrary large value  $r$ , we have

$$f(r) = f(r_0) \exp \left[ \mp \frac{\mu}{\kappa \hbar^2} \int_{r_0}^r V(r') dr' \right] \quad (14)$$

The point now is that if  $V(r) \rightarrow \frac{1}{r^a}$  with  $a > 1$ , then the integral of  $V$  will be an inverse power of  $r$ , and thus will go to zero as  $r \rightarrow \infty$ . In that case, the RHS of 14 does indeed tend to a constant as  $r \rightarrow \infty$ , and the asymptotic solution 4 is valid. However, if  $V = -\frac{e^2}{r}$  (the Coulomb potential, as found in the hydrogen atom), then the integral of  $V$  is a logarithm and does not tend to zero for large  $r$ . In this case, we get

$$f(r) = f(r_0) \exp \left[ \pm \frac{\mu e^2}{\kappa \hbar^2} \ln \frac{r}{r_0} \right] \quad (15)$$

$$= \left[ r_0^{\mp \mu e^2 / \kappa \hbar^2} f(r_0) \right] r^{\pm \mu e^2 / \kappa \hbar^2} \quad (16)$$

The quantity in square brackets is a constant, but the last factor is a power of  $r$  which, for the positive exponent, continues to grow as  $r \rightarrow \infty$ . Thus the asymptotic solution 4 is valid only for potentials that fall off faster than  $\frac{1}{r}$  for large  $r$ .

#### PINGBACKS

Pingback: hydrogen atom: radial function at large r