

## PAULI MATRICES: PROPERTIES

Link to: physicspages home page.

To leave a comment or report an error, please use the auxiliary blog.

Shankar, R. (1994), *Principles of Quantum Mechanics*, Plenum Press.  
Chapter 14, Exercise 14.3.3.

[If some equations are too small to read easily, use your browser's magnifying option (Ctrl + on Chrome, probably something similar on other browsers).]

The three components of the spin operator  $\mathbf{S}$  for spin  $\frac{1}{2}$  can be expressed in terms of the Pauli matrices

$$(1) \quad \sigma_x = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}; \quad \sigma_y = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{bmatrix}; \quad \sigma_z = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

as

$$(2) \quad S_i = \frac{\hbar}{2} \sigma_i$$

As the trace of a matrix is the sum of its diagonal elements, it's obvious from their definitions that the  $\sigma_i$  are traceless, but for some reason Shankar wants us to show this by a roundabout method.

We can show by direct calculation that the Pauli matrices anticommute with each other. For example

$$(3) \quad \sigma_x \sigma_y = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$(4) \quad = \begin{bmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & -i \end{bmatrix}$$

$$(5) \quad = - \begin{bmatrix} -i & 0 \\ 0 & i \end{bmatrix}$$

$$(6) \quad = - \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$(7) \quad = -\sigma_y \sigma_x$$

In general, we have, for  $i \neq j$ :

$$(8) \quad [\sigma_i, \sigma_j]_+ = 0$$

$$(9) \quad \sigma_i \sigma_j = -\sigma_j \sigma_i$$

Also, by direct calculation (or by using the commutation relations for  $S_i$ ) we can show that

$$(10) \quad [\sigma_x, \sigma_y] = \sigma_x \sigma_y - \sigma_y \sigma_x$$

$$(11) \quad = 2\sigma_x \sigma_y$$

$$(12) \quad = 2 \begin{bmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & -i \end{bmatrix}$$

$$(13) \quad = 2i\sigma_z$$

This gives the relation

$$(14) \quad \sigma_x \sigma_y = i\sigma_z$$

and also for cyclic permutations of  $x$ ,  $y$  and  $z$ . Also by direct calculation we can see that

$$(15) \quad \sigma_i^2 = I$$

We can write this more generally as

$$(16) \quad \sigma_i \sigma_j = \delta_{ij} I + i \sum_k \varepsilon_{ijk} \sigma_k$$

where  $\varepsilon_{ijk}$  is the Levi-Civita antisymmetric tensor.

Returning to the trace, we can use the theorem for the trace of a product:

$$(17) \quad \text{Tr}(AB) = \text{Tr}(BA)$$

Applying this to 9 we have

$$(18) \quad \text{Tr}(\sigma_x \sigma_y) = \text{Tr}(\sigma_y \sigma_x) = -\text{Tr}(\sigma_y \sigma_x)$$

Any quantity equal to its negative must be zero, so

$$(19) \quad \text{Tr}(\sigma_x \sigma_y) = 0$$

Thus from 14 we get

$$(20) \quad \text{Tr}\sigma_z = 0$$

We can use the same argument for  $\sigma_x$  and  $\sigma_y$  by cyclic permutation.

#### PINGBACKS

Pingback: Pauli matrices: A useful identity  
Pingback: Rotation of spinor about arbitrary direction  
Pingback: Pauli matrices: examples of linear combinations  
Pingback: Pauli matrices: commutation and anticommutation properties  
Pingback: Spinor in oscillating magnetic field - part 1  
Pingback: Spinor in oscillating magnetic field - part 2  
Pingback: Ensemble of electrons in magnetic field  
Pingback: Projection operators for spin-1/2 + spin-1/2  
Pingback: Dirac equation: derivation  
Pingback: Klein-Gordon equation in Schrödinger form  
Pingback: Klein-Gordon equation in Feshbach-Villars form - Operator transformations