

## ROTATION ABOUT AN ARBITRARY AXIS IN 3-D

Link to: physicspages home page.

To leave a comment or report an error, please use the auxiliary blog.

Post date: 15 Mar 2018.

References: Mark Srednicki, *Quantum Field Theory*, (Cambridge University Press, 2007) - Chapter 2.

In discussing Lorentz transformations, we pointed out that a general infinitesimal Lorentz transformation has 6 independent parameters, of which 3 represent a general rotation in 3-d space. Such an infinitesimal transformation has the form

$$\Lambda_{\nu}^{\mu} = \delta_{\nu}^{\mu} + \delta\omega_{\nu}^{\mu} \quad (1)$$

Srednicki then states that a general infinitesimal rotation by an angle  $\delta\alpha$  about an arbitrary 3-d axis given by the unit vector

$$\hat{\mathbf{n}} = [n_x, n_y, n_z] \quad (2)$$

is given by

$$\delta\omega_{ij} = -\epsilon_{ijk} n_k \delta\alpha \quad (3)$$

It's an interesting exercise to see where this formula comes from.

First, we can write the components of  $\hat{\mathbf{n}}$  in terms of the usual spherical angles  $\phi$  and  $\theta$ :

$$n_x = \sin\theta \cos\phi \quad (4)$$

$$n_y = \sin\theta \sin\phi \quad (5)$$

$$n_z = \cos\theta \quad (6)$$

To rotate a 3-d point about  $\hat{\mathbf{n}}$  by  $\delta\alpha$ , we can first rotate  $\hat{\mathbf{n}}$  so it lies in the  $xz$  plane, then rotate it again so that it lies along the  $z$  axis. We can then apply the rotation by  $\delta\alpha$  about the  $z$  axis. We then invert the first two rotations to rotate  $\hat{\mathbf{n}}$  back to its original positions. Since we know the rotation matrices about each axis separately, the problem reduces to a matrix multiplication.

The rotation of  $\hat{\mathbf{n}}$  into the  $xz$  plane requires a rotation by  $-\phi$  about the  $z$  axis. The matrix for this is

$$T_{xz} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \phi & \sin \phi & 0 \\ -\sin \phi & \cos \phi & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad (7)$$

The rotation from here into the  $z$  axis is a rotation by  $-\theta$  about the  $y$  axis, for which the matrix is

$$T_z = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta & 0 & -\sin \theta \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \sin \theta & 0 & \cos \theta \end{bmatrix} \quad (8)$$

Now the rotation by a general angle  $\alpha$  about the  $z$  axis is

$$R(\alpha) = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \alpha & -\sin \alpha & 0 \\ \sin \alpha & \cos \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad (9)$$

To reverse the first two rotations we need the inverses of  $T_{xz}$  and  $T_z$ , which we can obtain by just swapping the off-diagonal entries:

$$T_{xz}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \phi & -\sin \phi & 0 \\ \sin \phi & \cos \phi & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad (10)$$

$$T_z^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta & 0 & \sin \theta \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -\sin \theta & 0 & \cos \theta \end{bmatrix} \quad (11)$$

Thus the net effect of rotating by  $\alpha$  about  $\hat{\mathbf{n}}$  is given by

$$R(\alpha\hat{\mathbf{n}}) = T_{xz}^{-1}T_z^{-1}R(\alpha)T_zT_{xz} \quad (12)$$

The full expression for a finite angle  $\alpha$  is quite messy, but for an infinitesimal rotation by  $\delta\alpha$ , we can replace  $\cos(\delta\alpha)$  by 1 and  $\sin(\delta\alpha)$  by  $\delta\alpha$ , which simplifies things a lot. The final result is

$$R(\alpha\hat{\mathbf{n}}) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\cos \theta \delta\alpha & \sin \theta \sin \phi \delta\alpha \\ \cos \theta \delta\alpha & 1 & \sin \theta \cos \phi \delta\alpha \\ -\sin \theta \sin \phi \delta\alpha & -\sin \theta \cos \phi \delta\alpha & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad (13)$$

In terms of the components of  $\hat{\mathbf{n}}$ , given by 4, this is

$$R(\alpha\hat{\mathbf{n}}) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -n_z\delta\alpha & n_y\delta\alpha \\ n_z\delta\alpha & 1 & n_x\delta\alpha \\ -n_y\delta\alpha & -n_x\delta\alpha & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad (14)$$

$$= I + \delta\alpha \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -n_z & n_y \\ n_z & 0 & n_x \\ -n_y & -n_x & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (15)$$

Comparing this with 3, we see that the incremental components are indeed given by 3.