

CHARGE CONJUGATION

Link to: [physicspages home page](#).

To leave a comment or report an error, please use the auxiliary blog.

Reference: Sidney Coleman, *Quantum Field Theory: Lectures of Sidney Coleman* (edited by Bryan Gin-gu Chen *et al.*), World Scientific, 2019. Section 6.3.

Post date: 27 Jan 2020.

Coleman's initial treatment of charge conjugation is for a pair of scalar fields, which is a simpler case than that we looked at earlier. We look again at the Lagrangian for two scalar fields

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} (\partial^\mu \phi^a \partial_\mu \phi^a - \mu^2 \phi^a \phi^a) \quad (1)$$

where $a = 1, 2$ so there are two distinct fields. We saw that this Lagrangian is invariant under the continuous transformation

$$\phi^1 \rightarrow \phi^1 \cos \lambda + \phi^2 \sin \lambda \quad (2)$$

$$\phi^2 \rightarrow \phi^2 \cos \lambda - \phi^1 \sin \lambda \quad (3)$$

for some parameter λ . This is effectively a rotation in the space spanned by the two fields. This rotation is not a rotation in actual spacetime; it's a rotation in the abstract space defined by the field operators. This invariance is known as SO(2) invariance, which is invariance under the Special Orthogonal (SO) group in 2 dimensions.

Drawing an analogy with 2-dimensional space, we can view the rotation as a rotation in the space with axes defined by ϕ^1 and ϕ^2 . We can also define a reflection in this space. In 2-dimensional space, a reflection in one of the coordinate axes (say the x axis) is obtained by mapping all y coordinates to $-y$ and leaving the x values unchanged. In our ϕ space we can define a reflection by mapping ϕ^2 to $-\phi^2$ and leaving ϕ^1 unchanged. That is, we have

$$\phi^1 \rightarrow \phi^1 \quad (4)$$

$$\phi^2 \rightarrow -\phi^2 \quad (5)$$

From 1, we see that this reflection leaves the Lagrangian unchanged, since ϕ^a and its derivative always appear in squared terms, so the minus sign cancels out.

The difference between the reflection transformation and the rotation transformation is that reflection is a *discrete* transformation, in the sense that it is all or nothing. You can either reflect the fields or not reflect them; you can't reflect them by some fraction such as 10%. Therefore, there is no λ parameter involved in a reflection, so we can't apply Noether's theorem to obtain a conserved current.

We can define a unitary operator U to implement this reflection by requiring

$$U^\dagger \phi^1 U = \phi^1 \quad (6)$$

$$U^\dagger \phi^2 U = -\phi^2 \quad (7)$$

For a free state (no interactions) with definite numbers of types 1 and 2 particles is

$$U = (-1)^{N_2} \quad (8)$$

where N_2 is the number of type 2 particles. This operator is real as is its own inverse (applying it twice just gives the unit operator), so

$$U^\dagger = U^{-1} = U \quad (9)$$

It satisfies 6 since N_2 commutes with ϕ^1 so

$$U^\dagger \phi^1 U = (-1)^{N_2} \phi^1 (-1)^{N_2} \quad (10)$$

$$= (-1)^{2N_2} \phi^1 \quad (11)$$

$$= \phi^1 \quad (12)$$

For ϕ^2 , the field operator ϕ^2 either creates or annihilates a type 2 particle so

$$U^\dagger \phi^2 U = (-1)^{N_2 \pm 1} \phi^2 (-1)^{N_2} \quad (13)$$

$$= (-1)^{2N_2 \pm 1} \phi^2 \quad (14)$$

$$= -\phi^2 \quad (15)$$

When we expand the fields in terms of their creation and annihilation operators, we have

$$\phi^a(x) = \int \frac{d^3 \mathbf{p}}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^3} \sqrt{2\omega_{\mathbf{p}}}} \left(a_{\mathbf{p}}^{(a)} e^{-ip \cdot x} + a_{\mathbf{p}}^{(a)\dagger} e^{ip \cdot x} \right) \quad (16)$$

The effect of U on these operators must then be (using the same reasoning)

$$U^\dagger a_{\mathbf{p}}^{(1)} U = a_{\mathbf{p}}^{(1)} \quad (17)$$

$$U^\dagger a_{\mathbf{p}}^{(2)} U = -a_{\mathbf{p}}^{(2)} \quad (18)$$

$$U^\dagger a_{\mathbf{p}}^{(1)\dagger} U = a_{\mathbf{p}}^{(1)\dagger} \quad (19)$$

$$U^\dagger a_{\mathbf{p}}^{(2)\dagger} U = -a_{\mathbf{p}}^{(2)\dagger} \quad (20)$$

When considering 1, we defined the auxiliary operators

$$b_{\mathbf{p}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(a_{\mathbf{p}}^{(1)} + i a_{\mathbf{p}}^{(2)} \right) \quad (21)$$

$$b_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(a_{\mathbf{p}}^{(1)\dagger} - i a_{\mathbf{p}}^{(2)\dagger} \right) \quad (22)$$

$$c_{\mathbf{p}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(a_{\mathbf{p}}^{(1)} - i a_{\mathbf{p}}^{(2)} \right) \quad (23)$$

$$c_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(a_{\mathbf{p}}^{(1)\dagger} + i a_{\mathbf{p}}^{(2)\dagger} \right) \quad (24)$$

Coleman shows that under the unitary transformaton 6, $b_{\mathbf{p}}$ swaps with $c_{\mathbf{p}}$, so the reflection operation swaps these two types of particles. This has the effect that for

$$Q = \int d^3 \mathbf{p} \left[b_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger b_{\mathbf{p}} - c_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger c_{\mathbf{p}} \right] \quad (25)$$

$$= N_b - N_c \quad (26)$$

Q gets mapped into $-Q$. If we interpret Q as the net charge on a collection of b and c type particles, then U swaps negative and positive charges. For this reason, it's known as *charge conjugation*. As Coleman points out, 'conjugation' isn't the best term for this transformation, as it brings to mind complex conjugation which is not a unitary operation.